Linking rock fabric to fibrous mineralisation: a basic tool for the asbestos hazard
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Abstract. In recent years, many studies have addressed the effect on human health caused by asbestos exposures. As asbestos is a group of fibrous minerals that mainly occurs in mafic and ultramafic rocks (ophiolitic sequences), a close relationship between asbestos occurrence and the geological history of host rocks should be expected. By reviewing the existing literature and presenting characteristic examples, it is proposed a direct correspondence exists between the presence of fibrous minerals in ophiolites and the rock fabric systematics due to the combined activity of deformation, metamorphism/metasomatism, and rock/fluid interaction. Understanding the geological factors that may be at the origin of the nucleation/growth of fibrous minerals constitutes a necessary requirement for developing a methodological and analytical procedure to evaluate asbestos hazard (AH) in the natural prototype (ophiolitic rocks). A parameterisation of the AH in function of the main geological processes that produce the rock fabric systematics in different tectonic/geodynamic settings is discussed. A geological multidisciplinary approach (based on geological-structural field evidence combined with textural, mineralogical, petrological, and geochemical investigations) is proposed as the prerequisite for the evaluation of AH in natural environments. This approach, in particular, can provide a robust basis to formulate a procedural protocol finalised to the mitigation of asbestos effects in environments where these effects are still a real threat.

1 Introduction

Asbestos is the commercial term commonly used for six silicate minerals (World Health Organization, 1986; Gunter et al., 2007; Gunter, 2010). Due to its useful manufacturing properties, the use and commercialization of asbestos started already in archaeological ages. Since the advent of modern industry in the 19th century, asbestos has been mined and used all around the world (e.g. Ross and Nolan, 2003, and Kazan-Allen, 2005, for a review), while its negative effects on human health were increasingly being defined (e.g. asbestososis, mesothelioma, and lung cancer; Doll, 1955; Mossman et al., 1990; Hughes and Weill, 1991; Wagner, 1991; Rey et al., 1994; Cattaneo et al., 2006). At present, asbestos is listed as a Group I human carcinogen matter by the international world health authorities (IARC, 1987).

Although new fibrous minerals have been and are being discovered (e.g. Compagnoni et al., 1983, 1985; Gianfagna et al., 2003; Meeker et al., 2003; Belluso et al., 2006; Sullivan, 2007), in several countries asbestos is usually classified into two main mineral groups for legislative purposes: serpentine (chrysotile) and amphibole (amosite, crocidolite, anthophylite, tremolite, and actinolite). Despite the fact that regulatory agencies attempt to control the environmental impact of these minerals (e.g. Gibbons, 1998; Ross and Nolan, 2003; Kazan-Allen, 2005; de Grisogono and Mottana, 2009; Strohmeier et al., 2010) and that information on the asbestos hazard has multiplied in the last years (e.g. Gunter et al., 2007), asbestos is still an important threat to human health, particularly in urban settings where excavation, milling, and transportation of asbestos-bearing rocks during engineering activities (such as quarrying, tunnelling, and railways construction) potentially induce environmental risks for both workers and residents (e.g. Rohl et al., 1977; Schreier, 1989; Ross and Nolan, 2003; de Grisogono and Mottana, 2009).
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Research on asbestos hazard has hitherto been based mainly on measurements of concentrations of dispersed fibres in air (e.g. Sebastien et al., 1982; Lange et al., 1996; Zakrzewska et al., 2008), soils/water (e.g. Burilkov and Michailova, 1970; Metintas et al., 2002; Emmanouil et al., 2009), and indoors (e.g. Hardy et al., 1992). Results from these measurements have been mainly used to align the asbestos concentrations to the incidence of diseases, or to support national laws regulating the asbestos content in natural environments. It follows that policy makers and emergency responders have so far focussed more on the symptoms of the problem (mitigation effects) rather than on the cause (Fig. 1). On the other hand, only few studies have addressed the potential risks posed to health by asbestos minerals occurring in their natural settings (e.g. Gabrielse, 1960; Gibbons, 2000; Ross and Nolan, 2003; Van Gosen, 2007; Hendrickx, 2009). Asbestos is a mineral typical of mafic and ultramafic rock sequences (i.e. ophiolites), the cause for asbestos concentrations and hazard most commonly resides in ophiolitic rocks. However, only some of these rocks bear minerals and associated soils hazardous to human health. Understanding what geological history may lead to the formation of hazardous minerals in ophiolites is therefore fundamental to mitigate the asbestos hazard at its origin.

The aim of this paper is to investigate the range of the geological processes involved in the development of the asbestos-bearing ophiolitic rocks in order to promote some operative indications for procedures to be adopted before starting engineering works involving ophiolitic rocks both in urban settings and in natural environments.

2 Asbestos in ophiolitic rocks: when and why?

Deposits of asbestos occur world-wide and as such asbestos there have been concerns for non-occupational exposure (e.g. Phillips, 1927; Schreier, 1989; Karkanas, 1995; Ross and Nolan, 2003; Van Gosen, 2007; Hendrickx, 2009). Although large asbestos deposits have been documented in metavolcanics (Gianfagna et al., 2003) and metamorphosed iron formations (Van Gosen, 2007), loci of intensive asbestos mines (both active and inactive) correspond to exposures of ophiolitic rock sequences.

A complete ophiolite suite consists of basal peridotites showing different olivine-clinopyroxene-orthopyroxene associations (harzburgite, lherzolite, pyroxenite, dunite, etc.) and a main granular-to-porphyroclastic microtexture; overlaying intrusive gabbros (often exhibiting cumulus textures) and dykes; effusive complex mostly comprising pillow basalts; tectonosedimentary breccias (ophicalcites); and pre-to-syn-rift sedimentary cover (e.g. Müntener and Piccardo, 2003). Within this wide spectrum of ophiolite rock types, chrysotile deposits are concentrated in the ultramafic rocks (peridotites, serpentinized peridotites, serpentinites), whereas amphibole asbestos may be present in gabbros, serpentinochists, and various metasedimentary rocks.

What follows is a review of the geological aspects that pertain to asbestos formation, starting from the geological processes associated with the genesis and exposure of ophiolites in continental areas, where they interact with the human population.

2.1 The geodynamic settings

Ophiolites are remnants of paleo-oceanic lithosphere and their present-day exposures are a direct manifestation of the Wilson cycle of plate tectonics, from oceanic construction at divergent plate boundaries to oceanic consumption at convergent plate margins during orogenesis and crustal growth (e.g. Dilek and Robinson, 2003, and references therein). Creation of ophiolites dominantly occur at oceanic spreading centres in consequence of rifting processes during fragmentation of either continental or oceanic lithosphere (Coleman, 1977; Moores, 1982), although increasing importance has been attributed to ophiolites formed in supra-subduction zone environments (Dilek and Robinson, 2003). Theoretical models concerning exposure of mafic and ultramafic suites at the sea-floor in spreading centres include both simple- (e.g. McKenzie and Bickle, 1988) and pure-shear (e.g. Whitmarsh et al., 2001) criteria of lithospheric extension. In the first case (Fig. 2a), ophiolite formation is linked to the activity of low-angle normal fault systems that enhance hydrothermal alteration and chemical contamination due to pervasive seawater
**Fig. 2.** Schematic scenarios of tectonic settings for ophiolites. (a) Mantle exhumation and ophiolite formation during two main crustal mechanisms: lithospheric detachment (e.g. McKenzie and Bickle, 1988, redrawn and modified) and coaxial stretching at the mid-oceanic ridge (e.g. Whitmarsh et al., 2001, redrawn and modified). (b) Subduction-exhumation setting illustrating possible tectono-metamorphic events experienced by ophiolites (after Agard et al., 2009, redrawn and modified). (c) Ophiolite obduction along a regional-scale metamorphic sole thrust (after Searle et al., 2003, redrawn and modified).

Apart from processes associated with sea floor formation, the majority of the present-day exposures of ophiolitic sequences coincides with the axial (inner) regions of both active and ancient mountain belts (Dewey and Bird, 1970; Coleman, 1971). The classical scenario of mountain belt considers subduction of an oceanic plate followed by continental collision and suturing at the termination of the classical Wilson cycle (Dewey and Bird, 1970; Cawood et al., 2009, and references therein). In this context, orogenic wedge formation occurs in the supra-subduction zone due to the continuous flux, burial, and exhumation of the material detached from the subduction plate (e.g., Platt, 1986, 1993; Cloos and Shreve, 1988; Jolivet et al., 2003; Agard et al., 2009; Guillot et al., 2009). Meanwhile, ophiolites undergo a series of tectono-metamorphic events within the subduction channel, progressively equilibrated under high-pressure/low-temperature (HP/LT) metamorphic conditions (e.g. Peacock, 1996; Hacker et al., 2003; Guillot et al., 2009) with concomitant metasomatism induced by the circulating fluid phase (e.g. Scambelluri and Philippot, 2001; Schmidt and Poli, 2003; Bebout, 2007) (Fig. 2b). Circulation within the subduction channel results in the development of polyphase deformation fabrics and metamorphic mineral assemblages that modify the original texture, mineralogy, and rheology of pristine ophiolites (Scambelluri et al., 1995; Herrmann et al., 2000; Andreani et al., 2005). Orogenic processes may also involve oceanic obduction, which constitutes the tectonic juxtaposition of the oceanic lithosphere onto continental margins (e.g. Coleman, 1977). As in the case of the Semail ophiolites in Oman (e.g. Coleman, 1981; Searle et al., 2003, and references therein), the obducted ophiolites constitute an almost preserved oceanic lithospheric section, overthrust along a regional-scale sole thrust (Fig. 2c). The lack of penetrative subduction-zone metamorphism within the Semail ophiolite mass is one of the most important evidence
of the obduction process, with deformation, metamorphism, and fluid-rock mainly localized within the metamorphic sole thrust (e.g. Gray and Gregory, 2003).

Consequently, despite their original stratigraphic sequence, ophiolitic suites usually show complexities in terms of superimposed structures and mineralogical assemblages (i.e. the rock fabric), an inheritance of the tectono-metamorphic history from sea floor formation and/or orogenic wedge construction (e.g. Hermann and Müntener, 1996; Boschì et al., 2006; Nuriel et al., 2009). Within this wide range of geological processes, shear deformation and fluid-rock interaction enhance rock alteration and serpentinization, including fibrous minerals, under particular conditions of temperature and pressure (e.g. Trommsdorff and Evans, 1974; Evans, 1977; Hermann et al., 1996; Boschi et al., 2006; Nuriel et al., 2009).

2.2 The $P$-$T$ metamorphic conditions

In metamorphic petrology, the crystallization of a specific mineral assemblage, including also fibrous minerals potentially hazardous to human health, reflects pressure and temperature ($P$-$T$) equilibrium conditions attained during the metamorphic evolution. Fibrous mineralisation can therefore be seen as snapshots of the progressive crystallization process occurring in the rock mass, in consequence of the experienced tectono/metamorphic evolution.

Figure 3 summarizes the $P$-$T$ conditions for stability of serpentine and some amphibole minerals. Serpentine minerals equilibrate in a wide field of both $T$ and $P$. Antigorite is stable from 200 to 660 $^\circ$C, over low-grade to eclogitic conditions (e.g. Bucher and Frey, 2002; Evans, 2004). Antigorite mostly forms by destabilization of pristine peridotitic mineral assemblages by water activity-dependent reactions (e.g. Trommsdorff and Evans, 1974; Evans, 1977; Hermann et al., 2000; Bucher and Frey, 2002; Andreani et al., 2007), such as:

\[
\text{forsterite} + \text{water} = \text{antigorite} + \text{brucite} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{enstatite} + \text{water} = \text{antigorite} + \text{talc} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{forsterite} + \text{talc} + \text{water} = \text{antigorite} \quad (3)
\]

Chrysotile and lizardite are (meta)stable phases at temperatures lower than 300 $^\circ$C (Evans, 2004), following the reaction:

\[
\text{antigorite} + \text{brucite} = \text{chrysotile}/\text{lizardite}. \quad (4)
\]

Complete destabilization of antigorite in favour of chrysotile/lizardite occurs at $T < 200^\circ$C with production of talc:

\[
\text{antigorite} = \text{chrysotile}/\text{lizardite} + \text{talc}. \quad (5)
\]

It is important to note that chrysotile does not crystallize directly from early peridotitic assemblages, but it develops from the destabilization of former antigorite.

The stability fields of amphiboles depend on the variation in chemical composition of sodic- and calcic-rich species (Evans, 1990; Dale et al., 2005). Nucleation of tremolite in peridotites can occur following the destabilization of olivine, orthopyroxene, and clinopyroxene in presence of aqueous fluid (Bucher and Frey, 2002):

\[
\text{forsterite} + \text{enstatite} + \text{anorthite} + \text{water} = \text{tremolite} + \text{spinel}(6)
\]

\[
\text{enstatite} + \text{diopside} + \text{water} = \text{tremolite} + \text{forsterite}. \quad (7)
\]

Tremolite is stable from temperature lower than 800 $^\circ$C, down to the greenschists facies field (i.e. 300–400 $^\circ$C). Crystallization of actinolite and crocidolite (the asbestos variety of riebeckite) attains at $T < 400^\circ$C over medium-to-high pressure conditions (Otsuki and Banno, 1990; Fig. 3).

From the above notions, it can be inferred that the nucleation of both serpentine and amphibole asbestos is expected in ophiolitic rocks that experienced cooling during decompression from high-grade metamorphic conditions, after destabilization of former minerals. This can be attained by following different metamorphic retrograde paths (i.e. towards surficial $P$-$T$ conditions) that can span from (i) a trajectory characterized by nearly isobaric cooling and, then, nearly isothermal decompression, to (ii) a trajectory with first nearly isothermal decompression and, then, nearly isobaric cooling. Such retrograde paths are representative of the exhumation processes attained by the metamorphic rocks during the orogenic cycle (e.g. Platt, 1993; Spear, 1993).
Table 1. Summary of the deformation fabrics hosting fibres in ophiolites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rheological regime</th>
<th>Structures</th>
<th>Preferential site of fibre formation</th>
<th>Common meso- and micro-scale features</th>
<th>Considered references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ductile</td>
<td>Mylonitic shear zones</td>
<td>On the schistosity</td>
<td>Schistosity, stretching lineation</td>
<td>[1], [2], [4], [5],</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[6], [7], [8], [9],</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[10], [12], [18], [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Syn-metamorphic veins</td>
<td>Within vein walls</td>
<td>Cross- and slip-fibre veins</td>
<td>[1], [2], [3], [7],</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[8], [11], [13], [14],</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[15], [16], [20], [21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-ductile/semi-brittle</td>
<td>Tensile/shear fractures</td>
<td>Within fracture walls</td>
<td>Cross- and slip-fibre fractures</td>
<td>[3], [7], [8], [12],</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[17], [19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brittle</td>
<td>Fault gouges</td>
<td>On the slip planes</td>
<td>Slip kinematic indicators</td>
<td>[6], [7], [8], [12],</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


2.3 Rock fabric evolution

Within orogenic belts, ophiolites define large, but usually discontinuous bodies confined by regional-scale tectonic contacts responsible for the tectonic juxtaposition onto basement rocks (gneiss, granites) (Fig. 4a). The fabric of deformed ophiolites corresponds to different size deformation structures (from centimetre- to regional-scale), usually dissecting the original stratigraphic setting and obscuring the pristine rock fabric (Fig. 4b, c). Fibrous texture occurrence is documented both in shear deformation structures such as mylonites and fault gouges; and in non-shear deformation structures such as veins, developed in both ductile and brittle regimes (Table 1). Processes involved in formation of shear structures include reduction in grain-size, progressive development of pervasive foliation, and crystallization of deformation-related minerals in presence or absence of aqueous fluids (Trommsdorff and Evans, 1974; Scambelluri et al., 1991). Schistose serpentinites (Fig. 5a, b) define the common example of large amount of localised ductile shearing, as they accommodate fault slip surfaces by the combined effect of kinematics and crystallization (e.g. Norrell et al., 1989; Hoogerduijn Strating and Vissers, 1994; Vissers et al., 1995; Karkanas, 1995; Hermann et al., 2000; Andreani et al., 2005). Within schistose serpentinites, syn-kinematic fibrous minerals are disposed parallel among them and define a structural preferential orientation (Fig. 5c). Mechanisms promoting fibrous mineralisation during ductile shearing are mainly classified into (i) ongoing (re)crystallization of fibres during syn-kinematic schistosity (Andreani et al., 2005; Hirauchi and Yamaguchi, 2007), and (ii) re-orientation of pre-existing fibres (Reinen, 2000). At more brittle rheological conditions, shear structures (fault gouges, fault planes) localize the deformation in the rock mass immediately at the boundary of the main slip surface. Fault gouges produce a cataclastic tectonic melange (Fig. 5d) accommodating several meters of displacement by pervasive fragmentation of the host rock (e.g. Hoogerduijn Strating and Vissers, 1994; Hirauchi and Yamaguchi, 2007). External fluids permeate along the slip planes favouring the mineralisation of fibres. Interconnection between different fault systems can be provided by minor brittle structures (secondary faults, fracturing network) that also define a hydraulic pathway for fluid migration within the rock volume. Along fault surfaces, fibres tend to occur along the slip planes (Fig. 5e) parallel to the slip direction. Within these semi-brittle deformation conditions, back-scattered electron (BSE) images reveal that single fibres may be fragmented (Fig. 5f). Micro-cleavage occurs parallel (and along) the fibre length (i.e. the length-wise separation), and new formed fibres tend to arrange themselves parallel to the cleavages. It should be noted that, statistically, new formed fibres are shorter and thinner with respect to the pristine mineral from which they originated. Although the fibres maintain a similar aspect ratio (i.e. the length divided by its width), their negative effects on human health may increase as the particles reach a respirable size (e.g. Gunter et al., 2007).
Non-shear structures (e.g. syn-metamorphic veins, tensile fractures; Passchier and Trouw, 2005) can be thought as dilatation sites in rocks where fibrous mineral form in concomitance of fluid circulation (e.g. Wicks and Wittaker, 1977; Karkanas, 1995; Hermann et al., 2000; Auzende et al., 2006; Compagnoni and Groppo, 2006). Syn-tectonic veins are sites of mineral crystallization characterized by confined mass transfer processes (Cox and Etheridge, 1989; Barker et al., 2006). Fibrous serpentine texture in vein can occur in response to progressive, incremental crystallographic orientation during mineral formation (Andreani et al., 2004). Slip-fibres structures present fibrous minerals disposed parallel with respect to the vein walls (Fig. 6a). In cross-fibres structures, fibres tend to be disposed roughly perpendicular to the boundaries of the vein (Fig. 6b). In both cases, fibres are confined within the vein body and their length and amount are directly proportional to the displacement rate (slip-fibre veins) and to the width of the vein (cross-fibre veins). Commonly, tensile fractures (fracture mode-1 of Atkinson, 1987) developed within massive mafic rocks display fibres arranged in flaws and dispersed on the fracture surfaces (Fig. 6c). In that case, fibres do not cover the entire fracture surface, but a patchy distribution is more common. At the microscale, fibres appear as confined within the fracture walls, showing textural equilibrium with the fracture-filled minerals (e.g. quartz or plagioclase) (Fig. 6d). Within this microstructure, fibre length (and abundance) depends on the fracture surface and aperture.

2.4 Fluid-rock interaction

Both ductile and brittle deformation patterns induce mechanical perturbation in the rock volume that corresponds to preferential pathways for fluid flow in rocks (secondary permeability creation and maintenance; Oliver, 1996, and references therein). Structurally-controlled fluid flow plays a major role in mineral reactions, mass transfer, and deformation in metamorphic rocks (Ferry et al., 1994). Metasomatism of ophiolitic rocks by contamination due to silica-rich fluids allows the destabilization of former mineral assemblages (e.g.
Fig. 5. Examples of ductile and brittle shearing in ophiolites. (a) Meso-scale ductile mylonitic shear zone with development of serpentinites in massive lherzolites; (b) meso-scale pervasive schistosity in serpentinites accomplished by occurrence of free asbestiform minerals (probably tremolite); (c) SEM image of strongly aligned amphibole in foliated microfabric; (d) decimetric-width fault gouges in serpentinites with fine-grained antigorite (the white horizons); (e) antigorite slip fibres developed on fault surface in metagabbros; (f) BSE images of fibrous amphiboles showing fracturing in parallel thinner fibres. Mineral abbreviation: Am: amphibole.

Olivine, pyroxene) in favour to hydrated ones (e.g. serpentines and amphiboles) (e.g. Trommsdorff and Evans, 1974; Evans, 1977; Schmidt and Poli, 2003; Bebout, 2007; Bellot, 2008). The continuous feedback between dynamic recrystallization, strain localization, and fluid channelling implies deep changes into the structural permeability of the rock volume (e.g. Etheridge et al., 1984; Barnes et al., 2004).

In upper crustal conditions, fault-related fracture systems are the most important mechanism allowing infiltration of external fluids (e.g. Kerrich, 1986; Marquer and Burkhard, 1992). The extreme heterogeneity within the structural architecture of fault zones induces hydrodynamic partition within the massive protolith (e.g. Caine et al., 1996). Chemical disequilibrium between external fluid flow and wallrock has been
Fig. 6. Examples of non-shear deformation structures in ophiolites. (a) Antigorite slip-fibre and (b) antigorite cross-fibre veins in massive serpentinites; (c) tensile fracture in massive metagabbro with filling mineralisation composed by quartz, plagioclase, and fibrous amphiboles; (d) microphoto of thin section from fracture in (c), showing a plagioclase texture hosting fibrous amphibole crystals. Mineral abbreviations: Am: amphibole; Pl: plagioclase; Qtz: quartz.

described as responsible of development of secondary mineralisation in ophiolites (e.g. O’Hanley, 1991; Kyser et al., 1999), including fibrous ones (Karkanas, 1995). Within this “open system” fluid flow process (Oliver, 1996, and references therein), geochemical contamination acts through major fluid-hosted structures and is not pervasive within the undeformed wallrock volume.

3 Discussion

The asbestos hazard in ophiolites is intimately connected with the geological properties of the rock mass. The asbestos occurrence is not a casual aspect, but testifies of a series of geological factors that are diagnostics of the geological history of the host rocks.

A large literature documents the occurrence of both asbestos serpentines and asbestos amphiboles in different mafic and ultramafic lithologies, as well as in metasediments (e.g. Ross and Nolan, 2003; Compagnoni and Groppo, 2006; Van Gosen, 2007; Hendrickx, 2009; Giacomini et al., 2010). It is therefore inferred that the lithological heterogeneity of the ophiolitic rocks is not the main factor controlling the asbestos formation.

The following points should be taken into account:

– asbestos is not a primary constituent of the ophiolitic rock mass;
– asbestos is typically described in specific structural conditions of the rock mass;
– a narrow range of pressure and temperature conditions control the stability field of these minerals;
– chemical alteration of the host rock is often responsible for the formation of asbestiform minerals.

Through the above-reported comparison between previous works and our experience, we recognise a first-order correlation between asbestos formation (the asbestos hazard: $A_{H}$) in ophiolites with (i) the metamorphic cooling/decompression history (cd), (ii) the interplay between ductile and brittle deformation structures and the derived secondary permeability (sp), (iii) the activity of polyphase deformation (pd), and
Fig. 7. Schematic diagram correlating the asbestos hazard in ophiolites ($A_H$) to the modality of sea-floor exposure and different orogenic stages. The $A_H$ is expressed as a spectrum of possibilities directly connected to the rock fabric heterogeneities (ductile-to-brittle deformation localization, metamorphism/metamorphism, and fluid flux) that are representative of the tectonic environments. The diagram suggests that $A_H$ increases in ophiolites where a larger variety of deformation structures and associated metamorphic mineralisation can be expected due to the progressive superimposition of chronologically distinct tectono-metamorphic events.

(iv) the fluid flux ($ff$) experienced during the geological history of the rock. Such a relationship is here expressed as:

$$A_H = cd \cdot sp \cdot pd \cdot ff.$$  

Equation (8) is a parameterisation of the asbestos hazard in function of the main geological processes that are at the origin of the rock fabric heterogeneities in ophiolites, and Fig. 7 represents the probability of asbestos occurrence in qualitative terms (i.e. low to high probabilities) as a function of the tectonic/geodynamic environment. According to the literature on asbestos occurrence and hazard (see references in Table 1), $A_H$ can be expressed as a spectrum of geological possibilities that are influenced by superimposition of chronologically distinct tectonic events (from sea-floor to orogenic), interconnection between different deformation patterns (ductile to brittle), multistage dynamic metamorphism/metasomatism, and polycyclic fluid/rock interaction. In processes involved in sea-floor exposure, localized rock deformation along lithospheric detachment and metasomatic fluid accomplish for decompression and cooling providing conditions for nucleation of chrysotile and low-T amphiboles (actinolite) within ductile-to-brittle deformation structures (e.g. Hoogerduijn Strating and Vissers, 1994; Vissers et al., 1995). On the other hand, a less pervasive deformation is expected for ophiolites adiabatically exhumed at mid-ridge spreading setting, where large volumes of these rocks preserve their original fabric (Müntener and Piccardo, 2003).

In processes concerning orogenic events, a large variety of (polyphase) deformation structures and associated metamorphic mineralisation can be considered in ophiolites experiencing the complete subduction-exhumation orogenic cycle (Scambelluri et al., 1995; Hermann et al., 2000; Barnes et al., 2004; Li et al., 2004). In this case, due to the progressive superimposition of chronologically distinct tectono-metamorphic events, the interaction between progressive deformation (from ductile to brittle conditions), decompression/cooling, and fluid circulation enhances the structural permeability in the rock mass (e.g. Oliver, 1996). Defining occurrence and possible interaction between rock fabrics derived from different geological processes is fundamental to assess the distribution of the asbestos-bearing localised zones.

4 The geological multidisciplinary approach

In order to fix the mode and types of asbestos occurrence in ophiolites, we propose that geological-structural field work aimed at defining the deformation fabrics of the host rock should be the prerequisite of a multidisciplinary geological research program that includes mineralogical, petrological,
and geochemical analyses. The flowchart shown in Fig. 8 delineates such an approach, which is detailed below.

The geological-structural survey carried out at the proper scale should focus on the geometry (i.e. spatial distribution, width, and frequency), character (brittle vs. ductile), and attitude of those structures in which fibrous mineralisation is visible at the naked eye. The systematic sampling of different lithologies, deformation structures, and related mineralisation are critical to a series of laboratory analyses to identify:

1. the petrographic-structural characteristics. Meso- and micro-scale investigations aided by back-scattered electron images acquired at the scanning electron microscope (SEM) scale will be addressed to define relations between different generations of mineral types and geometry and texture of the main deformation structures. For the identification, description, and quantitative determination of common fibrous minerals, both traditional techniques (optical microscopy, X-ray powder diffraction, and infrared spectroscopy) and recent techniques (µ-Raman spectroscopy) should be used. Optical microscopy, together with SEM and transmission electron microscope observations, allows characterising the petro-textural and morphological features of the fibres. Diffraction and infrared spectroscopy provide determination on the chemistry of the fibrous mineral. The µ-Raman spectroscopy helps to quickly identify fibrous minerals, especially those of the serpentine group, directly on the rock chip (Rinaudo et al., 2003; Groppo et al., 2006). The goal is the assessment of the linkage between rock fabrics and types of fibrous mineralisation;

2. the thermobarometric environmental conditions for asbestos crystallization. Based on quantitative chemical analyses of equilibrium mineral assemblages, P-T estimates obtained from both inverse and forward petrological modelling techniques (Powell and Holland, 2008) are compared in order to define the P-T trajectory followed by the host rock and recognize the potential P-T regimes compatible with asbestos crystallization;

3. the geochemistry of the fluid/rock interaction processes leading to rock alteration and asbestos growth in ophiolites. A whole-rock geochemical balance (major and trace elements) from the unaltered to the altered rock mass will be performed to derive the volume and chemistry of the circulating fluids. Fluid inclusions analyses integrated with stable isotopes systematics are used to reconstruct the linkage between deformation history and the paleo-fluid circulation system. These investigations will determine the source, the volume, and the physical-chemical parameters of the fluids accompanying fibrous mineralisation in deformation structures;

4. the textural-morphological aspect of fibres investigated at optical microscopy and through SEM analyses;

5. the degree of free asbestos fibre (referred as Release Index by the Italian Ministerial Decree no. 178, 14 May 1996) that can be produced by application of external stresses on the rock mass (crushing, milling; Bellopede et al., 2009). The aim is to link the geological properties of the rock sample with the type and quantity of particles that can be inhaled and thus considered dangerous to human health. The use of experimental apparatus to simulate the effects of crushing and areal dispersion of
The integration and synthesis of the various results should permit establishing correlations existing between (i) the development of deformation structures, the alteration mineralogy, and growth of asbestos in ophiolites; (ii) intrinsic geological properties of the rock mass (mineralogical composition, texture, mechanical properties); and (iii) the characteristics (in terms of shape, dimension, and quantity) of the air-dispersed particles generated by the different physical-mechanical solicitations.

The proposed multidisciplinary approach can provide the basis to propose a predictive protocol finalised to the mitigation of effects due to asbestos contamination in environments where asbestos still constitutes a problem. These environments can correspond to engineering operativities of extraction, transport, and storage of ophiolitic rocks devoted to infrastructures or territory planning (e.g. Rohl et al., 1977; Ross and Nolan, 2003; Bandi and Gunter, 2006; Giacomini et al., 2010; Vignaroli et al., 2010). Our approach aims to propose specific techniques supporting regulatory agencies dealing with the natural materials in the framework of the asbestos hazard that are currently based on morphological factors (fibre length/width ratio) and chemical compositions. We are confident that national and international organizations concerned with the environmental impact due to asbestos exposure (Kazan-Allen, 2005; Lee et al., 2008) will consider such geological studies as a baseline to improve mitigation effects and to help minimize the risk of asbestos exposure to the general population.

5 Conclusions

Our proposal aims to be placed at the source of the asbestos topic (see flowchart in Fig. 1). As asbestos naturally occurs in ophiolites, we suggest relating the geological properties of ophiolite suites with the asbestos hazard (\( A_H \)) in terms of rock fabric heterogeneities created in response to the different tectonic/geodynamic settings. Ophiolites in orogenic settings (recording oceanic formation at spreading centres to oceanic destruction at convergent margins) are expected to gain rock fabric systematics particularly prominent for the nucleation and growth of fibrous minerals, due to the feedback between cooling/decompression history (cd), secondary permeability (sp), polyphase deformation (pd), and fluid flux (ff). This correlation should be considered as a first step to outline the structural-metamorphic control on growth of asbestos in ophiolites. Understanding that fibrous mineral occurrence in natural prototype is a record of the structural-metamorphic history helps to reconstruct an analytical and methodological procedure for investigation of the rock fabric aimed at evaluating the asbestos hazard. Our synthesis implies that the geological-structural context of a particular geological site defines a first-order aspect to be taken into consideration by asbestos regulatory agencies, especially in connection with habitual engineering operations of extraction, transport, and storage of ophiolitic rocks.
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