Local inundation distances and regional tsunami recurrence in the Indian Ocean inferred from luminescence dating of sandy deposits in Thailand
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Abstract. The Holocene beach-ridge plain of Phra Thong Island (Ko Phra Thong, SW Thailand) provides sedimentary evidence of several palaeotsunamis, in addition to the deposit of the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami. Due to poor preservation conditions, these palaeoevent layers are restricted to swales. Correlation across beach ridges, which is important e.g. to reconstruct inundation distances, remains a major challenge. A primary tool for establishing a precisely confined correlation of the sand sheets is the use of chronological data. Since the application of radiocarbon dating is limited by the scarcity of appropriate material, this study utilised optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating of tsunamigenic quartz grains. Generally, the sediments showed favourable luminescence properties regarding signal intensity, dose recovery and thermal stability. Disturbances of the OSL signal due to partial bleaching were corrected using the minimum age model. At least three palaeoevents – being 490–550, 925–1035 and 1740–2000 yr old – were distinguished by dating the discontinuous sand sheets at four different sites. Besides this chronological framework, the OSL data provide the opportunity to correlate the discontinuous sand sheets between spatially separated sites within the same swale as well as across ridges. This allows for first estimates of inundation distances for the palaeotsunamis documented on Phra Thong Island. Furthermore, the two younger events overlap in age with contemporaneous tsunami and earthquake evidence from other coasts bordering the Indian Ocean.

1 Introduction

Geological evidence has been used successfully to identify prehistoric tsunami events and to reconstruct their recurrence probability in many risk areas of the world for more than twenty years (e.g. Atwater, 1987; Dawson et al., 1988; Nanayama et al., 2003; Pinegina et al., 2003; Cisternas et al., 2005; Dawson and Stewart, 2007; Engel et al., 2010; papers in Mastronuzzi et al., 2010). This is particularly important in regions that lack written sources, like many countries around the Indian Ocean, where historical records, if available, are restricted to the last 400 or so years (Murty and Rafiq, 1991; Kumar and Achyuthan, 2006; Dominey-Howes et al., 2007). In contrast, changes in the coastal geomorphology by erosion, deposition, uplift and subsidence, caused by the Indian Ocean Tsunami (IOT) and its triggering earthquake, demonstrate that tsunami impact may leave its signature in the geological record (e.g. Szczucinski et al., 2006; Choowong et al., 2007; Kelletat et al., 2007; Kayanne et al., 2007; Sibuet et al., 2007; Srinivasalu et al., 2007; Fagherazzi and Du, 2008; Morton et al., 2008; Paris et al., 2009; Switzer et al., 2012). While co-seismic movement at near-field sites along the rupture area is used to detect palaeoearthquakes that might have generated past tsunamis (e.g. Rajendran et al., 2008; Sieh et al., 2008), the research on coasts distant from the seismic zones, such as SW Thailand, concentrates on sedimentary evidence of tsunami impacts (e.g. Monecke et al., 2008; Dahhanayake and Kulaseka, 2008; Jankaew et al., 2008, Brill et al., 2011). However, due to the lack of archives along the west coast of Thailand, an accurate chronology remains to be defined. The most promising results so far originate from...
Phra Thong Island (Ko Phra Thong), where up to four sand sheets, inferred to be tsunamigeneic, and older than 2004, are preserved in the swales of a wide beach-ridge plain (Jankaew et al., 2008).

Although crucial for establishing recurrence rates of prehistoric tsunami events, the dating of tsunamigenic sand sheets on Phra Thong remains a major challenge (Jankaew et al., 2011). The importance of well-constrained chronological data is further stressed by the fact that dating of tsunamiites seems to be the only possibility to correlate layers between different sites on the island, especially across ridges. This information is necessary, e.g., to reconstruct inundation distances that may function as a valuable indicator for the magnitude of the events. Due to intensive tropical weathering, bioturbation, root penetration and anthropogenic impacts, the preservation potential on Phra Thong is low, and the disturbed and discontinuous sand sheets persisted only in the lowest parts of the undulating topography. In addition, the use of radiocarbon dating is restricted by the scarcity of datable macro-remains in the peaty soils confining the tsunami deposits, and by the uncertainty of ages obtained from plant fragments inside the sand sheets, since it is often reworked material of an older age (Jankaew et al., 2008; Fujino et al., 2009). Thus, optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) dating may provide a useful tool to establish a reliable chronological framework for the tsunami history of the island.

In general, OSL dating has the advantage to measure the time elapsed since sedimentation and, therefore, provides direct information about the time of deposition, while sandwich dating with the radiocarbon technique results in limiting ages. Various studies conducted in the last few years demonstrate that luminescence dating is principally suited to determine burial ages of tsunamigenic sandy sediments (e.g. Huntley and Clague, 1996; Banerjee et al., 2001; Murari et al., 2007; Cunha et al., 2010), although it is associated with several uncertainties; especially partial bleaching of the luminescence signal due to short and highly turbulent transport conditions in tsunami waves (Bishop et al., 2005; Murari et al., 2007) or inhomogeneous dose rates may be a problem locally. Nonetheless, OSL dating of IOT deposits and palaeotsunami sediments of known age, conducted in two recent studies, proved the general applicability of the method for tsunamigenic sand sheets on Phra Thong (Brill et al., 2012; Prendergast et al., 2012). The presented work aims to use OSL ages of tsunamigenic quartz grains to (1) improve the chronology of tsunami events on Phra Thong, and (2) enable the correlation of distinct layers, preserved in different swales of the island. To achieve this, discontinuous sand sheets inferred to be tsunamigeneic were dated at several sites of the same swale. Based on these ages, a correlation of layers within the swale and, by considering existing chronological data of previous studies, across ridges was conducted.

2 Physical setting

The island of Phra Thong is located off the Andaman Sea coast of Southern Thailand, 125 kilometres north of Phuket (Fig. 1a), where it forms part of a system of barrier islands (Sinsakul, 1992). Separated from the mainland by tidal channels less than 4 km wide and 10 m deep, it extends up to 15 km from north to south and 8 km in an east-west direction. The topography of the island is dominated by several sequences of shore parallel swales and beach ridges (Fig. 1b), which reach heights of 2–5 m above mean sea level (a.s.l.). While these structures are predominantly covered by mangroves and dense vegetation in the eastern part of Phra Thong, grassy ridges and wet, tree-lined swales build up a 3 km wide, open beach-ridge plain with favourable properties for the accumulation of tsunami deposits in the west of the island.

Although SW Thailand is part of the tectonically stable Malay-Thai Peninsula with negligible seismic activity during the Holocene (Tjia, 1996), the active subduction zone of the Sunda Arc is located directly west of the study area. Due to the convergence of the Indo-Australian Plate and the Sundan and Burma Microplates, the area is a major source of tsunami-generating earthquakes, which are capable of producing waves strong enough to reach the Thai coast, as observed in December 2004 (Lay et al., 2005; Subarya et al., 2006). Although no historical tsunami prior to 2004 has been reported to have affected SW Thailand significantly (Bilham et al., 2005; Fig. 1a), convergence rates at the Sunda Arc (Løvholt et al., 2006) and evidence of co-seismic uplift (Rajendran et al., 2008; Meltzner et al., 2010) point to previous megathrust ruptures similar in magnitude to 2004, which probably resulted in severe tsunamis during prehistoric times.

Climatically, the study area is located in the sub-humid tropics with heavy rainfall during the summer monsoon and a dry period from December to February. Although the zone north of 5° N is generally characterised by the occurrence of tropical storms during the inter-monsoon periods (Pielke and Pielke, 1997), cyclone tracks in the Bay of Bengal are predominantly directed to the coasts of India, Bangladesh and Myanmar (Singh et al., 2000). For the eastern coast of the Andaman Sea, historical records show no landfall of a cyclone within the last 150 yr (Murty and Flather, 2004). The tropical storm recorded closest to the study area was Cyclone Nargis in 2008, which hit the coastline of southern Myanmar 800 km to the north (Fritz et al., 2009).

3 Previous studies on Phra Thong Island

3.1 Evidence of palaeotsunamis

First palaeotsunamis on Phra Thong were identified by Jankaew et al. (2008) and Fujino et al. (2009). The former
analysed two successive swales ca. 400 m east of the shoreline (swales X and Y, Fig. 2a). Above sandy intertidal deposits, a succession of peaty soils and sharply bounded sand sheets was observed. While swale Y contained three sand sheets below that of the IOT 2004, only two pre-2004 layers were detected in swale X. All sand sheets resembled the deposits of the IOT 2004 in their sedimentary characteristics, revealing thicknesses of 5–10 cm, a composition of chaotic or normal graded medium to very fine sand and sharp contacts to the peaty soils below, but lacked macro- and microfossils (Sawai et al., 2009). The sand sheets were assigned to prehistoric tsunami events, since landfalls of strong storms have not been reported; river runoff as well as aeolian dynamics can be excluded on Phra Thong, and sheet B chronologically correlates with tsunami evidence from Sumatra (Monecke et al., 2008). However, since the tsunamites were missing on the ridges, correlation between the layers of swale X and swale Y was not possible by other criteria than dating (Jankaew et al., 2008).

To determine ages for their tsunami deposits, Jankaew et al. (2008) used AMS-\(^{14}\)C dating of macro-remains. While leaves taken from within the tsunami layers produced conflicting results, data of plant fragments from the confining peat limited the age of the younger layer to 550–700 cal BP, and that of the older one to younger than 2200–2400 cal BP. In swale Y the age of all three pre-2004 sand sheets could only be limited to younger than 2500–2800 cal BP (Jankaew et al., 2008). More detailed age information for these deposits is presented by Prendergast et al. (2012) in the form of luminescence data. While the OSL ages confirm the correlation of layer B between both swales (350 ± 50 and 380 ± 50 yr), a correlation of the older layers in swale Y (2100 ± 260 and 990 ± 130 yr) and swale X (1410 ± 190 yr) failed. Furthermore, the OSL ages of layer B are younger than expected from radiocarbon dating.

Fujino et al. (2009) analysed nine sediment cores from the northern part of the island (Fig. 2a), showing a similar stratigraphy with intertidal sand overlain by peaty soils and up to two discontinuous sand sheets older than 2004. These
could not be correlated laterally, not even by means of radiocarbon dating, since, different from Jankaew et al. (2008), bulk samples of peaty soil were used. Due to penetration of the sand layers and the soils by young roots, these ages (at least 1300 AD and older 1900 AD) are likely to underestimate the real ages significantly.

Considering the low precision of the ages presented by Fujino et al. (2009), as well as the uncertainty of the luminescence ages of Prendergast et al. (2012), the only well confined ages for tsunami deposits on Phra Thong so far are slightly younger than 550–700 yr for the youngest palaotsunami and younger than 2500–2800 yr for two or three older events (Jankaew et al., 2008). A correlation of layers across ridges based on these ages remains fragmentary.

### 3.2 Holocene palaeogeography and sea levels

Both sea level and palaeogeography at the time of impact are important for the interpretation of prehistoric tsunamis. Regarding Holocene sea-level changes on the west coast of Thailand, model predictions of Horton et al. (2005) as well as field evidence of Scheffers et al. (2012) point to a high stand of +2–3 m at 5–6 ka BP that was followed by a gradual regression to its present level, remaining below +1 m during the last 3000 years. In contrast, there is little information about the Holocene coastal evolution of Phra Thong Island. Although chronological data for the formation of the beach-ridge plain in the form of OSL ages from Prendergast et al. (2012) for transect A (Fig. 2d) and Scheffers et al. (2012) for transects A and C (Fig. 2c and d) exist, it has not been used to discuss the shift in Holocene shorelines. Ridge ages of transect A, although with a poor resolution, cover the time from 5300 to 1600 yr ago, and those of transect C reach from 5400 to 3000 yr ago. Since each ridge and swale marks the position of a former shoreline, the correlation of these continuous structures between transects A and C allows for the approximate allocation of shorelines within the last 5500 yr (Fig. 2c and d).
4 Methods

4.1 Stratigraphical methods

The stratigraphical data presented in this paper were obtained from trenches and pits with maximum depths of 1.2 m below surface (b.s.). Since digging was limited by the high groundwater table, the trench and pit data were supported by closed sediment cores to document the sediments down to 1.75 m b.s. The profiles were photographed, described and sampled directly in the field. To establish an on-site facies stratigraphy, the sediment was characterised in terms of colour (Munsell Soil Color Charts), sedimentary structures and macrofossil remains. Grain size, sorting and carbonate content were estimated following Ad-hoc-Arbeitsgruppe Boden (2005). The topography of the study area was measured by means of a differential GPS (Leica SR 530) with less than 3 cm error.

Subsequently, selected reference profiles were sampled for laboratory measurements. Grain-size analyses were performed on dried fine-sediment samples (<2 mm) after treatment with H2O2 to remove organic matter using a laser particle sizer (Beckmann Coulter LS 13320). For the calculation of grain-size statistics (Method of Moments), the GRADISTAT software (Blott and Pye, 2001) was applied. Geochemical data include loss on ignition (LOI), determined by oven-drying at 105 °C for 12 h and ignition at 550 °C for 4 h (Beck et al., 1995). CaCO3 was measured by the Scheibler method. To establish a chronological framework for the stratigraphical setting and to cross-check the luminescence ages, macrofossil and plant remains from soil below and above the tsunami layers were AMS-14C-dated. Measurements were carried out at the radiocarbon laboratory of the University of Georgia at Athens (USA). Calendar ages were calculated from conventional radiocarbon years by means of the OxCal 4.01 software using the IntCal09 calibration curves of Reimer et al. (2009). All radiocarbon ages in the text are presented in 2σ sidereal years.

4.2 Luminescence dating

The optically stimulated luminescence technique (OSL) is based on the accumulation of a latent luminescence signal in certain mineral grains (typically quartz and potassium feldspar) due to the impact of ionising radiation in natural environments (decay of radioactive elements in the sediment and cosmic radiation) and the bleaching of this signal at exposure to sunlight (e.g. during sediment transport by wind or water). Thus, if luminescence signals are zeroed during transport, the accumulated signal at the time of sample extraction can be used to determine the time elapsed since the last transport cycle. The luminescence age is calculated by the formula: age = palaeodose/dose rate, where the palaeodose is the amount of radiation in Gy needed to build up the luminescence signal accumulated during burial (by comparing the intensity of the OSL signal with the response to known laboratory doses), and the dose rate defines the amount of absorbed radiation in Gy per unit of time (see e.g. Aitken, 1998; Duller, 2004 or Preusser et al., 2008 for a detailed description of the method). In this study we applied OSL on quartz grains from tsunamiogenic sand sheets to determine ages for prehistoric tsunami events.

The tsunami layers were sampled for palaeodose determination using opaque plastic tubes and were processed in subdued red light. Dried samples were sieved into separate grain-size fractions between 100 and 250 µm and treated with HCl, H2O2 and sodium oxalate to remove carbonate, organic material and clay. To obtain pure quartz, the sediment was separated with heavy liquid (2.58 and 2.68 g cm−3) and finally etched with concentrated HF (40%) for 45 min. All measurements were performed on a Risø TL/OSL DA 20 with a 90Sr/88Y beta source, delivering 0.0955 Gy s−1 to quartz grains at the sample position. Luminescence signals were detected through a Hoya U340 filter (7.5 mm) after blue LED stimulation, carried out at a temperature of 125 °C for 50 s. Small aliquots of 1 mm diameter – after Duller (2008) approximately 20–50 grains – were fixed on steel discs using silicon oil. Determination of equivalent doses (De) followed the SAR protocol of Murray and Wintle (2000, 2003) with three regenerative doses, zero measurement (recuperation), repetition of the first regenerative dose (recycling ratio) and test doses of ~25% of the natural signal. The use of time integrals for the luminescence signal and the background subtraction followed the approach of Ballarini et al. (2007), with the background integral directly following the signal integral. Since this early background technique was designed to increase the percentage of the easily bleachable fast component, it provides general advantages for incompletely bleached samples. A ratio of 1:2.5 between signal length (0–0.4 s) and background length (0.4–1.4 s) was adopted from Cunningham and Wallinga (2010).

The effects of thermal pre-treatment were analysed by applying preheat plateau and thermal transfer tests. For this, the corrected OSL signal was measured in dependence of increasing preheat temperatures between 160 °C and 280 °C (after room temperature bleaching of the natural signal using blue LEDs in the case of the thermal transfer test). To guarantee the applicability of the samples for OSL dating, the recuperation and recycling ratios were analysed (Wintle and Murray, 2006). Additionally, we performed dose recovery tests with the laboratory radiation in the range of the natural doses. For the calculation of mean equivalent doses, the central age model (CAM), in case of well-bleached deposits, and the minimum age model (MAM), in case of incomplete bleaching, of Galbraith et al. (1999) were applied, whereas over-dispersion and the shape of De distributions were consulted to define the state of bleaching. The expected scatter, σ0, used for calculations with MAM, was taken from the average over-dispersion of well-bleached samples of the setting.
Table 1. Dosimetry data of all samples. Depth = depth below surface, K = potassium content, Th = thorium content, U = uranium content, W = water content, LOI = loss on ignition, D = dose rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>depth (cm)</th>
<th>K (ppm)</th>
<th>Th (ppm)</th>
<th>U (ppm)</th>
<th>grain size (µm)</th>
<th>W (%)</th>
<th>LOI (%)</th>
<th>D (Gy kyr⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>peat a. KPT 20/1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.07</td>
<td>75.8 ± 3.0</td>
<td>13.3 ± 0.56</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>8.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 20/1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.07</td>
<td>86.8 ± 3.5</td>
<td>13.5 ± 0.56</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>12.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peat a. KPT 20/2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.07</td>
<td>83.3 ± 3.3</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.51</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>10.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 20/2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.17</td>
<td>155.7 ± 6.2</td>
<td>19.7 ± 0.84</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>11.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peat a. KPT 20/2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.05</td>
<td>26.9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.22</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 35/1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.11</td>
<td>114.0 ± 4.6</td>
<td>18.5 ± 0.77</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peat a. KPT 35/2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1014.4 ± 4.1</td>
<td>15.5 ± 0.64</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 35/2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.09</td>
<td>104.3 ± 4.2</td>
<td>15.7 ± 0.65</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 35/3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.12</td>
<td>122.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>17.3 ± 0.72</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>11.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peat a. KPT 35/3</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.04</td>
<td>13.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.13</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 35/3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.05</td>
<td>16.8 ± 0.7</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.27</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peat a. KPT 35/3</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.12</td>
<td>122.0 ± 4.9</td>
<td>17.3 ± 0.72</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>11.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 37/1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.09</td>
<td>69.5 ± 2.8</td>
<td>11.3 ± 0.47</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peat a. KPT 37/2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.11</td>
<td>84.0 ± 3.3</td>
<td>13.1 ± 0.55</td>
<td>131.5</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 37/2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.16</td>
<td>138.2 ± 5.5</td>
<td>19.9 ± 0.83</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peat a. KPT 37/2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.12</td>
<td>96.0 ± 3.8</td>
<td>14.9 ± 0.62</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>10.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peat a. KPT 47/1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.05</td>
<td>51.5 ± 2.7</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.41</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 47/1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.09</td>
<td>75.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>11.7 ± 0.32</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>7.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peat a. KPT 47/2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.10</td>
<td>74.0 ± 3.0</td>
<td>12.4 ± 0.52</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 47/2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.18</td>
<td>64.8 ± 2.6</td>
<td>10.1 ± 0.42</td>
<td>125–200</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peat a. KPT 47/3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
<td>12.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.15</td>
<td>180–200</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 47/3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.02</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.14</td>
<td>180–200</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>peat b. KPT 47/3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>15.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.21</td>
<td>180–200</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dosimetry samples were taken from tsunamiogenetic sand sheets as well as the confining soil. The dose rate was calculated separately for the layers and the surrounding material from K, Th and U concentrations, measured via Neutron Activation Analyses at Becquerel Laboratories (Canada), and from in-situ water and organic carbon contents of the samples (after Madsen et al., 2005), using the layer model of the ADELE software (Kulig, 2005). The results are presented in Table 1.

5 Results and discussion

5.1 Lithostratigraphy of swale VI

Samples were taken from a deep and wet swale in the northern part of Phra Thong, approximately 1.3 km east of the present shoreline (swale VI, Fig. 2). The stratigraphy was documented along a 1.2 km long, south-north directed section of the swale. It is based on seven profiles, generated from trenches (KPT 20, 35, 36, 39 and 47) and pits (KPT 37 and 101), with core data being used to extend the stratigraphies of KPT 20 (core KPT 34), KPT 35 (core KPT 35B) and KPT 37 (core KPT 37B) even below groundwater level (Fig. 2a and b). Generally, all analysed profiles showed a similar succession of sediments (Fig. 3). Representative profiles of the typical stratigraphy (KPT 20/34) and the stratigraphy of the wettest sections (KPT 37) are presented in Sect. 5.1.1.

5.1.1 Reference profiles KPT 20/34 and KPT 37

Figure 4 shows the combined data of trench KPT 20 and core KPT 34. The base, from 1.20 to 0.71 m b.s., was composed of medium sand (mean = 260–570 µm) of light grey colour (2.5Y 6/1) at the bottom and light greyish brown (2.5Y 5/2) towards the top. The sand contained wood fragments, but lacked fossils (in the same depositional unit of KPT 35, marine molluscs and coral fragments occurred where the deposits were situated below sea level; b.s.l.). The content of organic matter was low (0.2–0.5 %) and carbonate was absent. At 0.71 m b.s., a sharp contact separated the greyish sand from a succession of peaty soils and sand sheets (S1–S4). The former were characterised by dark brown colour (10YR 3/3), muddy sand (mean = 150–210 µm) with high amounts of organic carbon (5–12 %) and absence of CaCO₃ or fossils. All peaty strata comprised densely packed modern and subrecent roots, but lacked macroscopic plant fragments (except for the uppermost peat at 0.33–0.11 m b.s.).

Common for the sand sheets were sharp contacts to the peaty soil below, moderate sorting and one or more graded subunits. S1 was light grey (10YR 6/1) and revealed a bimodal grain size composition, with peaks in the fine sand (88–96 µm) and medium sand (269–517 µm) fractions.
Overall, it showed a fining-upward trend (mean = 190 µm at the base to 100 µm at the top), and was laterally continuous with a variable thickness of up to 10 cm. Besides elevated concentrations of CaCO₃ (8–15 %) and decreased organics (1.5–2.5 %) compared to the confining soil, it contained small fragments of indefinable marine shells and muddy rip-up clasts. All other layers (S2–S4) revealed a light greyish brown colour (10YR 6/2) and lacked carbonate as well as fossils. Their discontinuous lateral extends and the varying thicknesses were particularly prominent in trench KPT 20 (Fig. 4a and b). All three layers showed a bimodal composition of medium sand (269–324 and 517–567 µm) and fine sand (96.5–106 µm). While two fining-upward sequences were documented in S2, layers S3 and S4 revealed a massive structure. Similar to the soil strata, all sand sheets were penetrated by modern roots.

Compared to KPT 20/34, a slightly different stratigraphy was revealed in KPT 37 and KPT 101, located in the deepest parts of swale VI. The particularly wet conditions resulted in thicker and slightly better preserved peat strata; possibly due to bioturbation, the embedded sand sheets were extremely thin and discontinuous. The stratigraphical characteristics of KPT 37 are presented in Fig. 5: the base was composed of greyish brown (10YR 6/2), fine to medium sand void of carbonate and fossils. Macro-remains were restricted to occasional wood fragments. Above a sharp boundary at 1.10 m b.s. the sandy deposits were replaced by a succession of peaty soils and sand sheets. Compared to those of KPT 20/34, the very dark brown (10YR 2/2) peaty soils contained more organic matter (10–25 %) and were apparently thicker (14–34 cm). Embedded in the peaty soils, a total of five sand sheets, S1–S5, were distinguished: S1–S4 were exposed at the pit walls and characterised by discontinuous extents even over short distances (Fig. 5b). S5 was not reached by digging, but could be identified in the core (Fig. 5f). Laminted leaves at the top of S2 and S3 document the improved preservation conditions at this place (Fig. 5e). While S1 and S2 (Fig. 5d) contained carbonate (7–16 %) and small fossil fragments, S3–S5 lacked CaCO₃.

5.1.2 General stratigraphy and depositional environments

The succession of deposits in swale VI resembles the one described by Jankaew et al. (2008) and Fujino et al. (2009). It can be divided into two sediment units: the basal part of
Fig. 4. Combined stratigraphy of trench KPT 20 and core KPT 34 (see Fig. 2b for location). (a) Photograph of KPT 20. Each section of the scale represents 10 cm. (b) Schematic profile of KPT 20 (sketch of the profile in a) with explanations of the sedimentary units. (c) Succession of sediments in profile KPT 20/34 with selected analytical parameters. The succession is representative for all other sites sampled in swale VI. S1–S4 = tsunami deposits.

Fig. 5. The stratigraphy of KPT 37. (a) View from trench KPT 39 to the north with the location of pit KPT 37. (b) Northern and western wall of pit KPT 37 with three discontinuous sand sheets below the IOT 2004 deposit (S2-4). (c) Core KPT 37B directly next to the pit. (d) Detail photograph of sand layers S1 and S2 (greenish grey); they appeared to be two separate layers. (e) Laminated leaves at the top of S2. (f) Sediment core KPT 37 extends the stratigraphy down to the intertidal sand of unit 1 (each segment of the scale represents 10 cm). While layer S2 is missing in the core, an additional layer S5 is present.
all profiles, i.e. the greyish sand below the sharp transitions at 0.71 and 1.10 m b.s. in KPT 20/34 and 37, respectively, was defined as unit 1. All deposits above the contact, i.e. the sequence of alternating peaty soil and sand sheets, were summarised in unit 2. This succession was the same at all seven sites investigated in swale VI. However, the number of sand sheets in unit 2 as well as their thickness, sedimentary structure and granulometry were variable (Fig. 3). A maximum of five sand layers was observed in KPT 37 (S1–5). All other profiles contained either four (KPT 20/34, 35 and 47) or three sand sheets (KPT 36, 39 and 101).

While the greyish sand of unit 1 reflects deposition in an intertidal environment, the peat and soil strata of unit 2 were accumulated under swampy conditions inside the swales, after those had been separated from the sea by beach-ridge formation. The sand sheets reflect short interruptions of this environment by flooding events. The uppermost sand sheet (S1) was formed by the IOT in December 2004. All older layers (S2–5) revealed similar sedimentary characteristics as the IOT deposit; differences in geochemistry (zero instead of 8–15 % CaCO$_3$), fossil content (no fossils in the older layers) and geometry (discontinuous extent) can be addressed to post-depositional changes (Szczuciński, 2012). Since the prehistoric sand sheets are interpreted to have the same origin as those described by Jankaew et al. (2008) and Fujino et al. (2009), they are referred to as tsunamites of unknown age (see Sect. 3, as well as Jankaew et al. (2008) for discussion). Some uncommon features of paleotsunami deposits in swale VI were detected in S2 of KPT 37, which contained carbonate and fossils, a characteristic that can be observed for the 2004 deposit, but is missing in all paleotsunami layers. Although the IOT deposit and S2 in KPT 37 were clearly developed as two separate layers (Fig. 5d), it might indicate that S2 is only a subunit of the 2004 deposit, which is separated by reworked plant debris. While the IOT deposit was present in all profiles throughout the swale, the extent of the paleotsunami layers was laterally discontinuous and a correlation between distinct profiles based on the analysed sediment signatures was not possible.

5.2 Dating tsunami deposits in swale VI

5.2.1 Radiocarbon dating

Some limiting ages for the whole succession of tsunami-genic sand sheets are given by radiocarbon dating (Table 2): a wood fragment taken from the marine sand of unit 1 in KPT 35 gave an age of 3165–3345 cal BP (UGAMS-8050); charcoal from the basal peat in KPT 47 and plant fragments from the basal clay of KPT 37 provided ages of 2859–2991 cal BP (UGAMS-8053) and 2785–2923 cal BP (UGAMS-8051); additionally, another charcoal fragment from the top section of the peat between S4 and S5 in KPT 37 dated to 1345-1516 cal BP (UGAMS-8052).

While the greyish sand of unit 1 reflects deposition in an intertidal environment, the peat and soil strata of unit 2 were accumulated under swampy conditions inside the swales, after those had been separated from the sea by beach-ridge formation. The sand sheets reflect short interruptions of this environment by flooding events. The uppermost sand sheet (S1) was formed by the IOT in December 2004. All older layers (S2–5) revealed similar sedimentary characteristics as the IOT deposit; differences in geochemistry (zero instead of 8–15 % CaCO$_3$), fossil content (no fossils in the older layers) and geometry (discontinuous extent) can be addressed to post-depositional changes (Szczuciński, 2012). Since the prehistoric sand sheets are interpreted to have the same origin as those described by Jankaew et al. (2008) and Fujino et al. (2009), they are referred to as tsunamites of unknown age (see Sect. 3, as well as Jankaew et al. (2008) for discussion). Some uncommon features of paleotsunami deposits in swale VI were detected in S2 of KPT 37, which contained carbonate and fossils, a characteristic that can be observed for the 2004 deposit, but is missing in all paleotsunami layers. Although the IOT deposit and S2 in KPT 37 were clearly developed as two separate layers (Fig. 5d), it might indicate that S2 is only a subunit of the 2004 deposit, which is separated by reworked plant debris. While the IOT deposit was present in all profiles throughout the swale, the extent of the paleotsunami layers was laterally discontinuous and a correlation between distinct profiles based on the analysed sediment signatures was not possible.

5.2.2 Luminescence dating

Ten samples from sand sheets of four different profiles were taken for luminescence dating of the paleotsunamis (Fig. 3): one sample each from the upper two paleolayers of KPT 20 (KPT 20/1a and 20/2a) and KPT 37 (KPT 37/1 and 37/2), as well as from all three prehistoric sand sheets in KPT 35 (KPT 35/1a, 35/2a and 35/3). In case of KPT 47, the youngest paleotsunami was sampled once, and sheets S3 and S4 twice (KPT 47/1; 47/2a, b and 47/3a, b). The shine-down and dose response curves (Fig. 6), as well as the results of laboratory experiments (Fig. 7) proved favourable luminescence properties for all samples: the dose recovery tests generated values with less than 5 % difference between measured and given dose; the acceptance criteria regarding recycling ratio (0.90–1.10) and recuperation (less than 50 mGy) were missed by only 14 % and 16.8 %, respectively (Fig. 7). Based on preheat plateau and thermal transfer tests, a preheat temperature of 220 °C was selected for all samples.

Statistical analyses of the accepted aliquots (20-57 aliquots per sample) revealed three different types of $D_e$ distributions (Fig. 8): (i) in case of KPT 20/1a, 20/2a, 35/1a, 35/3, 37/2, 47/2a, 47/2b, 47/3a and 47/3b, the aliquots revealed unimodal, slightly over-dispersed (6.3–15.6 %) and positively skewed ($0.5–2.1$) $D_e$ distributions (Fig. 8, type 1), indicating well-bleached material; (ii) KPT 35/2a and 47/1 were slightly over-dispersed (12.1–12.7 %), stronger positively skewed ($2.2–2.8$) and consisted of two $D_e$ populations, of which the younger one accounted for the biggest part of the aliquots (Fig. 8, type 2). Although the over-dispersion is small, skewness and some higher $D_e$ values (forming the second mode) point to a minor influence of partial bleaching; (iii) KPT 37/1 showed significantly higher over-dispersion (28.2 %) and was characterised by several $D_e$ populations (Fig. 8, type 3), indicating poor bleaching or sediment mixing. Taking the average over-dispersion of the well-bleached deposits, i.e. those with type 1 $D_e$ distributions, a $\sigma_e$ value of 11 % (the average of 6.3-15.6 %) was defined for age calculation with MAM.

![Fig. 6. Typical luminescence signal of the tsunamites of the study area (KPT 20/1a). Shine-down curve (upper left corner) and SAR growth curve.](image-url)
Table 2. Radiocarbon data from swale VI. All samples were measured in the Center for Applied Isotope Studies, University of Georgia, Athens (USA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Depth (cm b.s.)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$^{13}$C (%)</th>
<th>$^{14}$C (BP)</th>
<th>Sidereal yrs (cal BP, 2σ)</th>
<th>Sidereal yrs (cal AD/BC, 2σ) *</th>
<th>Sidereal yrs (cal BP, 1σ)</th>
<th>Sidereal yrs (cal AD/BC, 1σ) *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UGAMS-8050</td>
<td>KPT 35/4</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>wood fragment</td>
<td>−29.8</td>
<td>3040±25</td>
<td>3345–3165</td>
<td>1396–1216 BC</td>
<td>3325–3216</td>
<td>1376–1267 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGAMS-8052</td>
<td>KPT 37/2.5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>plant fragment</td>
<td>−31.7</td>
<td>1520±25</td>
<td>1516–1345</td>
<td>435–605 AD</td>
<td>1415–1353</td>
<td>535–597 AD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Calibrated ages calculated with IntCal09 (Reimer et al., 2009).

Fig. 7. Summary of recycling ratio, dose recovery and recuperation data of all samples. The dotted lines mark the limits of the acceptance criteria (recycling ratio: 0.9–1.1, dose recovery: 0.95–1.05, recuperation: ≤50 mGy). Only 14% of all measured aliquots (N) were rejected because of their recycling ratio and 16.8% due to their recuperation. Mean dose recovery values (computed from 4–5 aliquots per sample) all matched the acceptance criteria.

To determine mean $D_e$ values for the incompletely bleached deposits (type 2 and 3 $D_e$ distributions), the minimum age model was applied. Since KPT 35/2a and KPT 47/1 (type 2) show characteristics more or less equal to deposits of the IOT 2004, which revealed minimised residuals of 28–40 yr using MAM compared to 53–83 yr using CAM (Brill et al., 2012), MAM should provide reliable ages. For KPT 37/1 (type 3), where multimodality and over-dispersion point to additional influence of sediment mixing during transport or after deposition, the results should be handled with care. In case of type 1 $D_e$ distributions, different from the modern analogue, the palaeotsunami deposits seem to be completely bleached. This discrepancy can be explained by the much older ages of the prehistoric tsunamites, since similar residuals like those observed for the IOT 2004 are small compared to the dating error of 500-2000 year old deposits. Thus, although it was demonstrated that MAM minimises the offset between expected age and OSL age for the IOT 2004 deposits (Brill et al., 2012), mean $D_e$ values for the well-bleached samples (type 1) were calculated by CAM. Anyway, the differences between CAM and MAM-based ages are not significant (Table 3).

5.2.3 The chronology of tsunami events

The chronological framework of the deposits in swale VI is given by radiocarbon ages of the basal peat or clay in KPT 47 and KPT 37 (KPT 47/4 and 37/4) as well as from unit 1 in KPT 35 (KPT 35/4). While the latter dates the deposition of marine sand under intertidal conditions before swale VI was separated from the sea to 3165–3345 cal BP, KPT 47/4 and KPT 37/4 provide ages of 2859–2991 cal BP and 2785–2923 cal BP for the period directly after the initiation of the swale by accumulation of the seaward beach ridge (Fig. 3). Simultaneously, they provide maximum limiting ages for the lowest palaeotsunami layer of each profile. All three ages are in good agreement and limit the deposition of all tsunamiigenic sand sheets in swale VI to younger than 2800–3000 cal BP.

Direct ages for the palaeotsunami layers are provided by luminescence dating. These data are all younger than 2800–3000 yr and, thus, agree with the limiting radiocarbon data. Furthermore, within the OSL ages of the same profiles, no inversions occur. The comparison of all data sets reveals three distinct time intervals with a clustering of layer ages (all ages of a cluster match within their errors; Fig. 9). The first cluster comprises the youngest palaeoevents in KPT 20, KPT 35 and KPT 47 as well as the second palaeolayer in KPT 37. The
The different types of $D_e$ distribution. Grey shaded areas mark mean $D_e$ values calculated with CAM. Encircled numbers indicate $D_e$ populations.

### Table 3.
Summarised characteristics of the $D_e$ distributions, mean equivalent doses, age models and ages for all samples (results of selected model in bold type). $\sigma_b =$ expected scatter, skew. = skewness, ovd. = over-dispersion, $D_e$ pop. = number of signal populations, CAM = central age model, MAM = minimum age model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>$\sigma_b$</th>
<th>skew.</th>
<th>ovd.</th>
<th>$D_e$ CAM (Gy)</th>
<th>age CAM (years)</th>
<th>$D_e$ MAM (Gy)</th>
<th>age MAM (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KPT 20/1a</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>4.48 ± 0.18</td>
<td>554 ± 64</td>
<td>4.45 ± 0.19</td>
<td>552 ± 68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 20/2a</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>14.12 ± 0.29</td>
<td>1107 ± 129</td>
<td>12.52 ± 0.37</td>
<td>1044 ± 122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 35/1a</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>5.72 ± 0.08</td>
<td>575 ± 66</td>
<td>5.67 ± 0.28</td>
<td>571 ± 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 35/2a</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>10.85 ± 0.17</td>
<td>941 ± 102</td>
<td>10.66 ± 0.22</td>
<td>937 ± 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 35/3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>6.16 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1853 ± 208</td>
<td>6.08 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1837 ± 201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 37/1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>2.17 ± 0.09</td>
<td>319 ± 36</td>
<td>1.47 ± 0.09</td>
<td>216 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 37/2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>4.85 ± 0.11</td>
<td>449 ± 50</td>
<td>4.58 ± 0.21</td>
<td>440 ± 47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 47/1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>4.14 ± 0.14</td>
<td>594 ± 75</td>
<td>4.07 ± 0.09</td>
<td>584 ± 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 47/2a</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>6.16 ± 0.12</td>
<td>991 ± 111</td>
<td>6.08 ± 0.11</td>
<td>988 ± 110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 47/2b</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>5.76 ± 0.17</td>
<td>927 ± 108</td>
<td>5.62 ± 0.28</td>
<td>916 ± 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 47/3a</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2038 ± 277</td>
<td>3.18 ± 0.21</td>
<td>2028 ± 273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPT 47/3b</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>3.08 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1801 ± 200</td>
<td>2.81 ± 0.29</td>
<td>1794 ± 198</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

second palaeolayers in KPT 20, KPT 35 and KPT 47 fall into the second cluster. Cluster three aggregates the oldest event deposits in KPT 35 and KPT 47.

Each cluster is related to a single tsunami event. Thus, additionally to the IOT 2004, which is defined as event A, we found deposits of at least three older tsunamis, namely events B, C and D, with ages of 400–660 yr (1610–1350 AD), 820–1230 yr (1190–780 AD) and 1600–2300 yr (410 AD to 290 BC), respectively (light shaded areas in Fig. 9). Their impacts can be timed more precisely by using the weighted mean of all ages inside a cluster (dark shaded areas in Fig. 9); this way, events B, C and D are dated to 492–554 yr (1518–1456 AD), 925–1035 yr (1085–975 AD) and 1743–1999 yr (267–11 AD). A potential fourth event X is present solely in
Fig. 9. Age plot of all dated profiles in swale VI (upper part) in relation to the results of other studies (lower part). The comparison of the four different profiles of swale VI shows that the layer ages cluster within intervals at 400–660 (B), 820–1230 (C) and 1600–2300 (D) years (light grey areas). The dark grey areas indicate the weighted mean of all ages inside a cluster. Each cluster is related to one palaeotsunami event (B, C, D).

KPT 37, where it is located between the deposits of the IOT and event B. Although it was dated to an age of 193–239 yr (1817–1771 AD) and luminescence dating of the modern 2004 tsunami deposits on Phra Thong showed much smaller offsets of less than 50 yr (Brill et al., 2012), we cannot rule out the possibility that it is part of the 2004 deposit (as stated in Sect. 5.1.2 it might be a sublayer of the IOT deposit), particularly, since a similar layer is missing at all other sites in swale VI (as well as in other swales on Phra Thong). The only possible counterpart mentioned in historical records is the 1762 AD earthquake off Myanmar (Dominey-Howes et al., 2007), which is considered unlikely to have generated a tsunami strong enough to inundate Phra Thong Island (Okal and Synolakis, 2008).

5.3 Correlation of palaeotsunami deposits

5.3.1 Intra-swale correlation

Based on luminescence ages and radiocarbon data, we correlated the distinct sand sheets in the profiles of swale VI with events B, C and D. This way, it was possible to trace the deposits of all three events from KPT 20 in the south to KPT 47 in the north (Fig. 10). While this is confirmed by OSL ages for KPT 20, KPT 35, KPT 37, and KPT 47, in the case of the undated profiles KPT 39 and KPT 36, the correlation is based on visual extrapolation from layers of neighbouring profiles. Additionally, for the third palaeolayer in KPT 37, the correlation with event C is supported by the radiocarbon date of 1345–1516 cal BP from the peat below, which is too old for event B and too young for event D. Only in KPT 101, which is undated and spatially isolated from the nearest profiles, none of the two sand sheets can be related with certainty. Besides chronological data, the concentrations of $^{232}$Th and $^{238}$U (which were measured for dose rate determination; Table 1) may function as another indicator to correlate the sand sheets. The thorium concentrations show a sharp decline directly below event layer C in all measured profiles (KPT 20, 35 and 47; Fig. 10); thus, the border between events C and D forms a significant marker, which can be identified by radionuclide analysis.

5.3.2 Inter-swale correlation

Considering the overall successful correlation of sand layers in swale VI, we related events B, C and D with precisely dated counterparts in other swales on the island as well; the youngest palaeotsunami of Jankaew et al. (2008)
with an age slightly younger than 1450–1300 AD, correlates with the 492–554 yr old (1518–1456 AD) layer B of swale VI. Two or three older events are definitely younger than 500–800 BC and could therefore be counterparts of layer C (1085–975 AD) and layer D (267–11 AD) in swale VI. The OSL data of Prendergast et al. (2012) for these layers suggest ages of 990 ± 130 yr (1140–980 AD), 1410 ± 190 yr (780–400 AD) and 2100 ± 260 yr (160 AD–360 BC). While the youngest date matches precisely the age of event C, a correlation of event D with one of the other layers is not possible.

Taking the boundary conditions at the time of impact in the form of water level and palaeogeography into account, additional information about minimum inundation distances can be obtained. While the tide level at the time of prehistoric tsunami impacts is not determinable, the sea level was <1 m above present for all three events, an insignificant value compared to the tidal range of 2.5 m (Scheffers et al., 2012). Similarly, the palaeotopography has changed only little during the last two millennia, since palaeosol formation and the effects of the IOT 2004 document rather stable ridge heights (Scheffers et al., 2012). Solely the position of the shoreline has changed significantly during the last 2000 yr. By correlating the undated ridges and swales of transect C with dated counterparts in transect A (swale X that marks the 2500 BP shoreline is identical with swale IV in transect C, and swale Y, which indicates the coastline at 2000 BP, is located between swales III and IV of transect C), approximate shoreline positions in transect C can be estimated for events B, C and D (Fig. 2c): 1800 yr ago, when event D hit the island, the shoreline was directly east of swale III (∼500 m west of swale VI), and during events B and C it was somewhere west of swale III (at least 600 m west of swale VI). This implies minimum inundation distances of 500 m for event D, and at least 600 m (probably much more) for events B and C.

5.3.3 Basin wide correlation

In a wider geographical context – i.e. by considering the coasts of all countries affected by the IOT 2004 – counterparts for events B, C and D exist as well. The youngest palaeotsunami of 1518–1456 AD is supported by sedimentary evidence from Ban Bang Sak (1450–1250 AD; Brill et al., 2011) and Krabi (1425–1410 AD; Harper, 2005) in Western Thailand, Sumatra (younger than 1400–1290 AD; Monecke et al., 2008) and the Andaman Islands (younger than 1300–1200 AD; Malik et al., 2010). Contemporaneous evidence for the 1085–975 AD tsunami (event C) is postulated from Sumatra (younger than 990–780 AD; Monecke et al., 2008), Sri Lanka (ca. 1000 AD; Ranasinghage et al., 2010) and Eastern India (ca. 1000 AD; Rajendran et al., 2006). Additionally, for both periods (when events B and C took place, respectively), uplifted corals on the Andaman Islands and in Northern Sumatra (uplift comparable or bigger than 2004) prove strong ruptures of the Sunda Fault (ca. 1450 AD and 1000 AD; Rajendran et al., 2008; Meltzner et al., 2010). The oldest palaeotsunami of Phra Thong, event D, is less well supported by evidence from other locations. The only comparatively contemporaneous evidence is provided by possible tsunami deposits from Thailand (Brill et al., 2011) and Eastern India (Rajendran et al., 2006).

6 Conclusions

The study shows that in absence of appropriate material for radiocarbon dating, the optically stimulated luminescence technique can be successfully applied to improve the knowledge about palaeotsunami frequencies. On Phra Thong Island, the luminescence data revealed three prehistoric tsunami events prior to the IOT 2004 (event A): event B took place 490–550 yr ago, event C 925–1035 yr ago and event D occurred between 1740 and 2000 yr ago. A possible
fourth palaeotsunamiite, dated to 190–240 yr, is most probably only a poorly bleached sublayer of the 2004 tsunami deposit. Besides their contribution to a better event chronology of the region, the luminescence ages also enable the correlation of various distinct tsunami deposits on the island; although discontinuous, events B, C and D could be traced at spatially separated sites within a single swale, as well as across beach ridges. For at least two palaeotsunamis (events B and C), dated counterparts in swales 500 m apart exist and, thus, give information about minimum inundation distances. Furthermore, the OSL ages enable correlation with contemporaneous tsunami evidence from other coasts around the Indian Ocean for all three palaeoevents, which can be used as another indicator for the magnitude of the tsunami.
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