The role of different factors related to social impact of heavy rain events: considerations about the intensity thresholds in densely populated areas
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Abstract. In the assessment of social impact caused by meteorological events, factors of different natures need to be considered. Not only does hazard itself determine the impact that a severe weather event has on society, but also other features related to vulnerability and exposure.

The requests of data related to insurance claims received in meteorological services proved to be a good indicator of the social impact that a weather event causes, according to studies carried out by the Social Impact Research Group, created within the framework of the MEDEX project. Taking these requests as proxy data, diverse aspects connected to the impact of heavy rain events have been studied.

The rainfall intensity, in conjunction with the population density, has established itself as one of the key factors in social impact studies. One of the conclusions we obtained is that various thresholds of rainfall should be applied for areas of varying populations. In this study, the role of rainfall intensity has been analysed for a highly populated urban area like Barcelona. A period without significant population changes has been selected for the study to minimise the effects linked to vulnerability and exposure modifications. First, correlations between rainfall recorded in different time intervals and requests were carried out. Afterwards, a method to include the intensity factor in the social impact index was suggested based on return periods given by intensity–duration–frequency (IDF) curves.

1 Introduction

The study of the impact that severe weather events have on a territory and its population is of maximum interest for society, involving a broad range of actors in addition to meteorology and climatology specialists, such as emergency services, policymakers and insurance companies.

For this purpose, the Social Impact Research (hereafter SIR) Group was created within the framework of the MEDEX project (MEDiterranean EXperiment on cyclones that produce high-impact weather in the Mediterranean; http://medex.aemet.uib.es). Since 2008, the SIR Group has carried out several studies on this subject, centred in two regions of Spain, the Balearic Islands and Catalonia (Fig. 1). Requests related to insurance claims received at meteorological services were used as proxy data, as they proved to be a good indicator of social impact (Amaro et al., 2010). They reveal if an event has caused damages in accordance to the number of claims received because of adverse meteorological conditions. These claims can be translated into economic losses that citizens have to face. One of the advantages of this indicator is that it is reasonably objective because it depends on facts: the weather event causes material damage. On the contrary, one of the drawbacks is that the requests of reports can be altered by some factors, such as the insurance company proceedings and the variations of freely available online data. On the other hand, the most severe weather events demand special treatment and do not usually require individual reports, but are handled globally by regions.

This proxy data can be contrasted with others, like the media coverage. Press news can be used to obtain an indirect
estimation of the risk (Llasat et al., 2009b), so it is a good indicator to verify the social impact of the analysed events.

A methodology for treating the requests was defined by the SIR Group, in order to use them in social impact studies. The SIR Group analysed the events that occurred in the Balearic Islands and Catalonia during the period 2000–2002 (Amaro et al., 2010). Afterwards, a cumulative index (CI) to indicate the social impact expected for rain events was established for each region. Indices are indirect numerical surrogates of real phenomena (Fekete, 2009). In our case, the CI could be a valuable tool to foresee the social impact.

The CI was built as a non-dimensional index, including the following factors: the maximum precipitation in 24 h of the event, population affected by rainfall exceeding 60 mm, length of the event and finally the coincidence with a strong wind event. Each factor was quantified on a scale from 1 to 10 according to different criteria for each of them (Amaro et al., 2010). As a result, the CI equation is

\[ \text{CI} = L_R + L_P + L_{TR} + L_{TW}, \]

(1)

where \( L_R \) is the level associated with the maximum rainfall in 24 h; \( L_P \) is the level associated with the population affected by rainfall exceeding 60 mm; \( L_{TR} \) is the level associated with the length of the rain event; \( L_{TW} \) is the level associated with the coincidence with a strong wind event.

The events with a higher number of requests, and therefore, more social impact, should have a greater CI (the maximum possible value being 40). Even though the CI adjustment was suitable for most of the events, there were some exceptions which indicated that other factors ought to be considered.

In this study, the analysis of the events that presented an unexpected CI adjustment in Catalonia during the period 2000–2002 has been carried out in order to define which other factors should be also taken into account (Fig. 2a). The revision’s results show that two main features stand out: population density, which is connected with vulnerability, and rainfall intensity, related to hazard. As it has been observed, rainfall intensity is particularly relevant in densely populated areas, so studies for these areas require priority treatment. Therefore, a study of the intensity has been carried out for the city of Barcelona. According to the availability of intensity data, this study has been completed for the period 2008–2011.

The main goal of this paper is to determine the role of rainfall intensity in the social impact that rain events have in a densely populated area. After determining the influence of the rainfall intensity, the next aim is to find a suitable way to include it in the CI.

This paper is structured in three sections: first, a revision of the events that presented an unexpected CI adjustment will be made. Then, an assessment of the rainfall intensity factor will be carried out for the city of Barcelona, analysing its correlation with the requests related to insurance claims received after heavy rain events, and proposing a method to include this factor in the CI. Finally, some conclusions will be drawn and future work will be suggested.

2 Events’ revision

Out of the 49 heavy rain events obtained from the MEDEX database for the period 2000–2002 in Catalonia, 11 presented an unexpected CI adjustment. Consequently, an analysis of these events has been carried out in order to determine which modifications should be applied to the index (Fig. 2a).

In the western Mediterranean region, recent studies show an increase in vulnerability mainly related to the population displacements towards the coastal regions, where flash floods
are frequent (Llasat et al., 2009a, 2010). The more populated a region is, the more social impact a weather event causes in it. Because of this, the population factor was included to build the CI when a maximum rainfall of over 60 mm in 24 h was recorded, according to the threshold established by MEDEX. Nevertheless, one single threshold for all Catalonia has proved to be insufficient.

One of the best examples to illustrate this fact is the event which occurred on the 14 and 15 July 2001. The number of requests received (133) was higher than expected, according to the maximum rainfall in 24 h (98 mm). In fact, the CI for this event was quite low (Fig. 2a). Moreover, the analysis of requests by municipality showed that approximately half of them came from municipalities of Barcelona’s metropolitan area, where the maximum rainfall was around 40 mm/24 h (Fig. 3a). It is Catalonia’s most populated region (Fig. 3d), with more than 10 000 inh km$^{-2}$, and the threshold of 60 mm in 24 h appears to be too high for it, as there was a remarkable social impact caused by a lower precipitation. According to press, there were 40 dispatches of firefighters during this event.

On the contrary, other events had less social impact than expected according to the requests received. One of the most outstanding examples is the 21–25 October 2000 event. Only 36 requests were received, with a high maximum accumulated rainfall of 270 mm recorded in 24 h (Fig. 3b). The main reason was that it affected mostly rural areas, where the population density is low.

In the same way, Petrucci and Pasqua (2008) obtained high levels of damage in areas characterised by high population density, while in scarcely populated areas hydrogeological phenomena did not induce important damage. Consequently, the events’ revision suggests that different rainfall thresholds for differently populated areas ought to be applied (Barbería et al., 2011).

The studied cases also indicate that rainfall intensity, which was calculated on the basis of 30 min data, cannot be ignored. The 10 August 2002 event had a higher number of requests than expected according to the CI. It affected an extensive part of Catalonia, including areas of the metropolitan area of Barcelona (Fig. 3c). There, the rainfall intensity exceeded 20 mm in 30 min, which corresponds to the first level of warnings (Vilaclara et al., 2010) according to the thresholds applied in the Servei Meteorològic de Catalunya (hereafter SMC) for civil protection warnings. Another similar example is the 14–15 July 2001 event, when rainfall intensity also exceeded 20 mm in 30 min in the Barcelona area. Consequently, exceeding this threshold is likely to be related to a high social impact.

On the other hand, we must bear in mind that during the same event of 14–15 July 2001, the maximum precipitation intensity (36.4 mm in 30 min) was recorded in the northern part of Catalonia, coinciding with the maximum rainfall in 24 h (Fig. 3a), but it caused a minor impact because this is a sparsely populated area (Fig. 3d). Therefore, the rainfall intensity should be considered in order to assess the social impact of heavy rain events, albeit in different ways for urban and rural regions (Amaro et al., 2012).

Other factors that stand out after the revision are the length of the event and the coincidence with strong wind, which seem to be less relevant than the other CI factors. In some cases they are partly the cause for a high CI which is not verified by a high number of requests. The 4 January 2001 and 28 January 2001 events exemplify the overestimation of the CI caused by the level associated with the coincidence with a strong wind event. These events have quite a low number of requests, but their CI stands out compared to other events with a similar number of requests.

On the other hand, the 20–24 September 2002 event illustrates the overestimation caused by the level associated with the length of the event. According to the number of requests, it should have had a lower CI, but the number of days increased the CI value.

Finally, some phenomena that are more unusual can be decisive, like hail. In agricultural regions, during one single hail event, a high percentage of crops of an area can be damaged, causing a huge impact, regardless of the other factors. This was observed during the 14 and 15 July 2001 event, when hail affected between 80 and 100% of fruit tree crops in a specific rural area in west Catalonia, so a considerable number of requests were received from this region, despite the low population density. Hail must also be considered when urban areas are affected, as in the 10 August 2002 event, when hail of a diameter up to 4 cm was reported in cities near the Barcelona city area. This likely contributed to the significant number of requests received.

2.1 Conclusions of the revision

The events’ revision confirms that, in social impact assessment, areas of varying population should be approached in different ways. To carry out a regionalization, the most relevant fact is not the total population of a municipality, but the population density, which is also linked to the type of urbanization and the land use. The more elements at risk and the more valuable and susceptible those elements are, the higher the vulnerability to flooding (Scheuer et al., 2011). Moreover, heavy rain can cause urban flooding when the city sewage system does not have enough capacity to drain away the rain during events of very intense precipitation (Petrucci and Pasqua, 2012). Thus, urbanization enhances the risk (Nirupama and Simonovic, 2007) and cities densely populated become more vulnerable.

Regarding the CI, some improvements are introduced: the intensity and the population density should be included in it, and, on the contrary, the levels associated with the length of the event and with the coincidence with a strong wind event need to be reduced, as they have proved to be less relevant (Barbería et al., 2011). The lack of systematic hail
As a first approach, to build the reassessed cumulative index (RCI), a new threshold of 40 mm in 24 h for municipalities surpassing 10,000 inh km\(^{-2}\) is suggested. The levels associated with this new factor (\(L_{P40}\)) follow the same criteria established by Amaro et al. (2010) for the levels associated with the population affected by rainfall exceeding 60 mm. Consequently, different levels have been obtained from

\[ L_{P40} = \left( \frac{P_{40}}{P_{T}} \right) \cdot 10, \]  

where \(P_{40}\) is the population affected by rainfall between 40 and 60 mm in municipalities surpassing 10,000 inh km\(^{-2}\); \(P_{T}\) is the total population of Catalonia.

The population affected by precipitation surpassing 60 mm is already considered in the \(L_P\) factor. Thus, the \(L_P\) and \(L_{P40}\) factors together have a maximum value of 10.

On the other hand, the levels associated with the length of the rain event and with the coincidence with a strong wind event have to be reduced. In order to maintain 1 as the minimum value associated, root operation was selected to reduce the levels. The best results were achieved using the square root.

Accordingly, the resulting equation for the RCI for Catalonia is

\[ RCI = L_R + L_P + L_{P40}^{0.5} + L_{TW}^{0.5}, \]

where \(L_R\) is the level associated with the maximum rainfall in 24 h; \(L_P\) is the level associated with the population...
affected by rainfall exceeding 60 mm; \( L_{PA0} \) is the level associated with the population affected by rainfall between 40 and 60 mm in areas of more than 10,000 inh km\(^{-2} \); \( L_{TR} \) is the level associated with the length of the rain event; and \( L_{TW} \) is the level associated with the coincidence with a strong wind event.

After applying these adjustments, 7 events were modified by the improvement of the population factor, 27 events by the length of the event factor and 8 events by the strong wind factor.

Figure 2b shows the RCI with these corrections. All the events in the first and second quartile (lower number of requests) have values below 8, whereas in the CI some events in the first quartile were above it. That was too high considering the low number of requests in comparison with the events of a higher impact, so a better adjustment has been achieved. On the other hand, in this approach the events with a higher impact have a RCI above 11. Nevertheless, there are some exceptions that could be explained by the intensity and hail factors, which are not included in the equation yet.

Consequently, the intensity factor should be added. In the same way as total rainfall, this parameter must be incorporated in a specific way for regions of varying populations. In order to achieve that, a first assessment on the intensity role has been carried out for the city of Barcelona.

### 3 Focusing on hazard: the intensity factor

Damages can be caused by intense precipitation that does not amount to a high total quantity. According to Diakakis (2011), there is a strong connection between peak storm intensity and flood occurrence. Therefore, this variable is essential for social impact studies. For Catalonia, a typology of floods was set up according to their hazard and potential impact (Llasat, 2009). This classification includes short-lived events of very intense precipitation caused by isolated cells or multicells with a limited horizontal extension and episodes of heavy rain sustained for several hours caused by multicells or mesoscale convective systems. The occurrence of these flood events supports the fact that high-intensity rainfall has a very remarkable impact on the Catalan territory regardless of the total quantity recorded in 24 h.

Risk has been described as the combination of hazard, exposure and vulnerability (UNISDR, 2012). As rainfall intensity is part of the hazard factor, in order to focus on this variable, a number of events where the other two factors are minimised will be analysed. To achieve that, a fixed area and a period without significant changes in population have been selected: Barcelona, which is the most densely populated city in Catalonia, and the period 2008–2011.

#### 3.1 Considerations about the selected period

The period 2008–2011 has been chosen taking into consideration, firstly, the rainfall data availability. Since 2008, the SMC has three automatic rain gauges in the city of Barcelona, which are located in different city areas (Fig. 4), and cover the pluviometric regimes that have been suggested for the Barcelona urban area (Rodríguez et al., 2012). Therefore, we can consider that most of the rain events that affected the city are included in the study.

For the city of Barcelona, an increase in the number of extraordinary floods was detected, particularly since the 19th century, due to rising vulnerability (Llasat et al., 2008), but on the other hand the number of catastrophic floods has decreased thanks to the renewal of the drainage system of the city (Barrera et al., 2006). Consequently, it is important to select a period with no significant changes in vulnerability in order to reduce it to the point of being negligible. During the period 2008–2011 there were no significant changes in the city population (Table 1) so similar vulnerability can be assumed.

Concerning the total number of requests received in the SMC during the analysed period, a considerable decrease is detected in 2011 (Fig. 5a), even though the number of heavy rain events was higher that year. In Catalonia, there were 10 events that exceeded the highest level of warnings established by the SMC (more than 40 mm in 30 min). Factors related to online data are likely to have affected, at least partly, the number of requests, according to the website statistics. Between 2009 and 2011, an increase in the number of page views at the SMC website (www.meteo.cat) is detected (Fig. 5b). Statistics of the year 2008 are not shown, as...
they are not available. Data of the automatic weather stations are freely accessible in the SMC website, and citizens are likely getting acquainted with online data search. The highest increase in page views took place during the year 2010, when the number of requests decreased, and this trend continued in 2011. Consequently, different thresholds of requests ought to be considered when selecting the events that had a significant social impact.

3.2 Heavy rain events in Barcelona during 2008–2011 and their social impact

The rain events that took place during the 4-year period of 2008–2011 in the city of Barcelona and their social impacts have been analysed in this study. Rainfall data from three SMC automatic rain gauges in the city of Barcelona and requests received related to insurance claims have been collected. In the SMC a systematic quality control is applied to the data recorded by the automatic weather stations. It consists of a plausible value check (if the values are within the acceptable range limits) and time, internal and spatial consistency checks. These checks are performed daily, monthly and yearly, hence this procedure guarantees data reliability. Moreover, data recorded by the rain gauges of the sewer system in the city of Barcelona (hereafter CLABSA) has been used to verify the maximum rainfall of the events.

The selection of events was carried out as follows: first, a list of rain events was made according to the requests related to insurance claims received at the SMC. On the other hand, another list of rain events was made based on the records of the three automatic rain gauges mentioned before. The maximum rainfall in 24 h for each event was obtained from the daily data, recorded from 00:00 to 24:00 UTC. Also, the rainfall accumulation from 08:00 UTC on one day to 08:00 UTC on the following day was collected, in order to take into account the pluviometric day. Afterwards, the lists obtained from the requests and from the maximum rainfall were crossed.

The results showed that for the 2008–2010 period, the events that had five or more requests were the ones in which the maximum rainfall in 24 h exceeded 40 mm, with some exceptions. For the year 2011, the events that had three or more requests matched that threshold, except for two of them. For all the exceptions, even though no SMC rain gauge exceeded an accumulated precipitation of 40 mm in 24 h, the exceedance of this value was recorded by the CLABSA rain gauges (Table 2). Therefore, the overcome of this threshold shows a clear correlation with a high social impact in the area of Barcelona. It verifies that 40 mm in 24 h is a suitable threshold for densely populated areas, as was proposed for the RCI equation (see Sect. 2.1).

Consequently, the events with a maximum rainfall over 40 mm in 24 h were selected, as they showed a relation with social impact. Twenty rain events met this criterion and the RCI was calculated for them (Table 2). As shown in Table 1, during 2008–2011 there were no significant changes in the population figures. Thus, the population factor will not have perceptible changes, and because of this, it was not necessary to include it in the RCI expression.

3.3 Correlations between rainfall in different time intervals and number of requests

In order to determine the influence of rainfall intensity regarding social impact, correlations between precipitation recorded at different time intervals and number of requests received have been carried out. Therefore, we will be able to determine not only if the intensity is correlated with social impact.
Table 3. Maximum rainfall in 24 h, 60, 30 and 10 min for each event.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Max. rainfall in 24 h (mm)</th>
<th>Max. rainfall in 60 min (mm)</th>
<th>Max. rainfall in 30 min (mm)</th>
<th>Max. rainfall in 10 min (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 July 2011</td>
<td>53.8</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 October 2009</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 March 2011</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 July 2011</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 and 8 June 2011</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 May 2008</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 September 2010</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 July 2008</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 March 2011</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–4 November 2011</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>43.9</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 October 2010</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 November 2011</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 May 2010</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 October 2011</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. Correlations between number of requests and maximum rainfall in: (a) 24 h, (b) 60 min, (c) 30 min and (d) 10 min. Least squares regression has been applied. The 30 and the 10 min periods show the best correlation with the number of requests, and the $p$ values near zero indicate very strong evidence against the null hypothesis of no linear association.

Least squares regression has been applied and Pearson’s correlation coefficient has been calculated (Fig. 6). Significance of the regressions has been tested and therefore, it has been proved whether the different variables considered are linearly related or not to the number of requests. The freely available statistical software package R has been used (http://www.r-project.org).

The results show that the maximum rainfall in 60, 30 and 10 min have statistically significant linear correlations with the number of requests (95% confidence level). For the 30 and 10 min periods (Fig. 6c and d) the correlation is very similar, and the $p$ values near zero indicate very strong evidence against the null hypothesis of non-linear association. The maximum rainfall in 60 min (Fig. 6b) is also correlated with the number of requests, but not as strongly as the maximum rainfall in 30 and 10 min.

On the contrary, the maximum rainfall recorded in 24 h (Fig. 6a) does not show statistically significant linear correlation (95% confidence level).

Therefore, the maximum rainfall in 30 min or the maximum rainfall in 10 min should be included in the RCI in order to introduce the rainfall intensity factor, as these variables have the best ability to explain the number of requests.

3.4 Categories of intensity

In order to include the intensity factor in the RCI, different categories of intensity have been built. The first step is to determine which measurement period is selected, taking into account that both the 30 min and the 10 min periods presented a similar correlation with the number of requests. The 30 min period has been chosen, as the range of values for the period of 10 min is quite limited, while the period of 30 min covers a wider range (Fig. 7), and would therefore facilitate the creation of categories. Moreover, the 30 min period is used in the SMC for civil protection warnings, and the impact, but also which measurement periods are more significant. The periods of 24 h, 60, 30 and 10 min have been considered (Table 3). For 6 out of the 20 events, only accumulated rainfall values in 24 h were available, so 14 events have been included in the correlations.
availability of historic data is higher for the 30 min measurement period than for the 10 min one.

For building categories, different criteria can be applied. In this study, the categories are based on return periods, so they are connected to the exceptionality of the events. The return periods are used for warning thresholds like Meteoalarm, where, for instance, return periods over 5 years correspond to red warnings (Stepek et al., 2012).

To determine the values of precipitation in 30 min as associated with different return periods, intensity–duration–frequency (hereafter IDF) curves have been used. For the city of Barcelona (Observatori Fabra) IDF curves were built (Pérez, 2012), with the generalised equation

\[ I = 15.2 + 4.26 \ln T / (6.5 + t)^{0.780}, \]  

where \( I \) is the intensity in mm min\(^{-1} \); \( T \) is the return period in years; \( t \) is the duration in minutes.

The rainfall in 30 min was calculated for nine return periods (0.5, 1, 2, 5, 10, 15, 25, 50 and 100 years) (Table 4). Based on the rounded values, ranges of rainfall in 30 min were ascribed values from 1 to 10 (Table 5) to build the levels of the intensity factor (\( L_I \)). Value 1 corresponds to the lowest intensity range and the 10 to the highest. Therefore, the minimum value (1) will be for a maximum rainfall in 30 min associated with a return period below 0.5 year (between 0 and 20 mm), and the maximum (10) for a maximum rainfall in 30 min associated with a return period beyond 50 years (more than 60 mm).

### 3.5 Reassessed cumulative index adjusted for densely populated areas

The categorization of rainfall intensity allows the introduction of this variable in the RCI equation. Therefore, the RCI for highly populated areas is

\[ RCI = L_R + L_I + L_P + L_{P40} + L_{TR}^{0.5} + L_{TW}^{0.5}, \]  

where \( L_R \) is the level associated with the maximum rainfall in 24 h; \( L_I \) is the level associated with the maximum intensity in 30 min; \( L_P \) is the level associated with the population affected by rainfall exceeding 60 mm; \( L_{P40} \) is the level associated with the population affected by rainfall between 40 and 60 mm in areas of more than 10 000 inh km\(^{-2} \); \( L_{TR} \) is the level associated with the length of the rain event; \( L_{TW} \) is the level associated with the coincidence with a strong wind event.

The \( L_I \) factor will be different for each region. In this study, the \( L_I \) intensity factor was introduced for the city of Barcelona. The RCI for the 2008–2011 events (Table 6) shows a better adjustment after introducing the intensity factor. The population factor has not been taken into account because it does not vary, as was explained at the end of Sect. 3.2.

Considering the better adjustment achieved after the introduction of the intensity factor, the next stage should be to introduce it in the revision of the events recorded during the period 2000–2002 (Sect. 2). Particularly, the 14–15 July 2001 was one of the events with the highest number of requests but with a quite low RCI (below 11). As commented in Sect. 2, rainfall intensity was remarkable in the area of Barcelona, where the maximum rainfall in 30 min was 20.8 mm. This intensity corresponds to the second level of the \( L_I \) (Table 5). If we introduce the \( L_I \) in the RCI of this event, we obtain a value of 11, which is comparable with other events that had

---

**Table 4.** Rainfall in 30 min calculated for different return periods for the city of Barcelona, based on the generalised IDF curves equation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( T ) (year)</th>
<th>( I ) (mm min(^{-1} ))</th>
<th>Rainfall in 30 min (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>22.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>27.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>32.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>45.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>48.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>52.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>57.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>63.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5.** Values ascribed for ranges of intensity in 30 min (see text for details).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range (mm)</th>
<th>Intensity level ( (L_I) )</th>
<th>Range (mm)</th>
<th>Intensity level ( (L_I) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>40–45</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45–50</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50–55</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>55–60</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&gt; 60</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 7.** Range of values for the 10, 30 and 60 min period in the studied events.
a high social impact. However, this improvement cannot be applied to the other events yet because the $L_I$ is not still calculated for all of Catalonia.

Thus, a specific study for each region is needed. Moreover, the importance of intensity should be evaluated to determine if its correlation with social impact is high in other areas that are not so densely populated. We must keep in mind that the lack of IDF curves may be a drawback for obtaining the $L_I$ factor for all the areas. To overcome this disadvantage, an association between a municipality which has data and other areas with a similar precipitation regime could be carried out.

### 4 Conclusions

The role of different factors related to the social impact of rain events has been considered in this study. Based on the work achieved by the SIR Group (Amaro et al., 2010), a revision of cases has been carried out, in order to improve the CI which was established to indicate the social impact expected for rain events.

The events’ revision confirms that, in social impact assessment, areas of varying populations should be approached in a different way. The degree of urbanisation stands out as a crucial variable which cannot be ignored, demanding a regionalisation based on population density.

Regarding the CI, some improvements are introduced to build the RCI. For municipalities surpassing a population density of 10 000 inh km$^{-2}$, a new threshold of 40 mm has been defined for heavy rain events. For other regions, the threshold of 60 mm in 24 h that was established in the previous study is likely to be suitable.

On the other hand, the length of the event and the coincidence with a strong wind event are relevant within the framework of social impact. However, in the previous study the CI was overestimated because of them, so a correction has been applied to reduce the levels associated with these factors, as they have proved to be less relevant.

After these improvements, a better adjustment is observed. All the events in the first and second quartile (events with a lower number of requests) have values below 8, quite reasonable compared with the events with a higher social impact, which present RCI values above 11. Nevertheless, other factors, such as hail and rainfall intensity, should be taken into account.

Hail can be a crucial factor in agricultural regions or when densely populated areas are affected by this phenomenon. In these cases, this parameter should be considered. However, this factor has not been analysed due to the lack of systematic hail information in the SMC during the studied period.

The importance of rainfall intensity has been demonstrated for a densely populated urban area like the city of Barcelona. The heavy rain events that took place during the period 2008–2011 have been analysed. The results show that there is a statistically significant linear correlation (95% confidence level) between the rainfall recorded in 60, 30 and 10 min and the requests related to insurance claims. The best correlation has been observed for the 30 and 10 min periods, being both very similar. In accordance with the SMC data availability, the 30 min period has been used to assess the social impact of the rain events.

A method for including the intensity factor in the social impact index has been suggested, based on return periods obtained from IDF curves. The results show a better adjustment of the RCI to assess the social impact of weather events in highly populated areas when the intensity factor is considered.

Future work will be aimed at carrying out a regionalization based on the vulnerability of the territory, suggesting different thresholds of rainfall for each area. Furthermore, in order to introduce the intensity factor in the equation, IDF curves need to be calculated for more regions. Therefore, the RCI would become a useful tool to foresee events with high social impact and it will allow a better management of contingency plans in vulnerable areas.
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Table 6. Comparison between the RCI calculated without the intensity factor and the RCI including it, for the studied events. Populación factor has not been included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Number of requests</th>
<th>RCI without $L_I$</th>
<th>RCI including $L_I$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 July 2011</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 October 2009</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 March 2011</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 July 2011</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 and 8 June 2011</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 May 2008</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 September 2010</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 July 2008</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 March 2011</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–4 November 2011</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 October 2010</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 November 2011</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 May 2010</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 October 2011</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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