Growth of a sinkhole in a seismic zone of the northern Apennines (Italy)
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Abstract. Sinkhole collapse is a major hazard causing substantial social and economic losses. However, the surface deformations and sinkhole evolution are rarely recorded, as these sites are known mainly after a collapse, making the assessment of sinkhole-related hazard challenging. Furthermore, more than 40% of the sinkholes of Italy are in seismically hazardous zones; it remains unclear whether seismicity may trigger sinkhole collapse. Here we use a multidisciplinary data set of InSAR, surface mapping and historical records of sinkhole activity to show that the Prà di Lama lake is a long-lived sinkhole that was formed in an active fault zone and grew through several events of unrest characterized by episodic subsidence and lake-level changes. Moreover, InSAR shows that continuous aseismic subsidence at rates of up to 7.1 mm yr$^{-1}$ occurred during 2003–2008, between events of unrest. Earthquakes on the major faults near the sinkhole do not trigger sinkhole activity but low-magnitude earthquakes at 4–12 km depth occurred during sinkhole unrest in 1996 and 2016. We interpret our observations as evidence of seismic creep at depth causing fracturing and ultimately leading to the formation and growth of the Prà di Lama sinkhole.

1 Introduction

Sinkholes are closed depressions with internal drainage typically associated with karst environments, where the exposed soluble rocks are dissolved by circulating groundwater (dissolution sinkholes) but other types of sinkholes also exist. Subsidence sinkholes, for example, can form for both internal erosion and dissolution of covered layers leading to downward gravitational deformations such as collapse, sagging or suffosion (Ford and Williams, 2007; Gutiérrez et al., 2008). Deep sinkholes have been often observed along seismically active faults indicating a causal link between sinkhole formation and active tectonics (Faccenna et al., 1993; Harrison et al., 2002; Closson et al., 2005; Florea, 2005; Del Prete et al., 2010; Parise et al., 2010; Wadas et al., 2017). In some cases, the processes responsible for their formation have been attributed to fracturing and increased permeability in the fault damage zone, promoting fluid circulation and weathering of soluble rocks at depth. Additionally, when carbonate bedrocks lie below thick non-carbonate formations, stress changes caused by faulting may cause decompression of confined aquifers favouring upward migration of deep fluids, hence promoting erosion and collapses (e.g. Harrison et al., 2002; Wadas et al., 2017). Seismically induced stress changes could also trigger the collapse of unstable cavities as in the case of the two sinkholes that formed near Ein Gedi (Dead Sea) following the $M_w$ 5.2 earthquake on the Dead Sea Transform fault in 2004 (Salamon, 2004). Sinkhole subsidence and collapses are a major hazard and cause substan-
tial economic and human losses globally (Frumkin and Raz, 2001; Closson, 2005; Wadas et al., 2017).

In Italy, a total of 750 sinkholes have been identified and the 40% of them are along active faults (Caramanna et al., 2008) but this number could be underestimated due to the high frequency of sinkholes both related to karst and anthropogenic origin (Parise and Vennari, 2013). Seismicity-induced sinkhole deformation has been often observed in Italy (e.g. Santo et al., 2007; Parise et al., 2010; Kawashima et al., 2010).

The sinkhole of Prà di Lama, near the village of Pieve Fosciana (Lucca province, Italy), is a quasi-circular depression filled by a lake. Prà di Lama is located in the seismically active Apennines range of northern Tuscany, at the intersection of two active faults (Fig. 1). Hot springs are also present at Pieve Fosciana suggesting that fluid migration occurs along the faults planes. Sudden lake-level changes of up to several metres, ground subsidence, surface fracturing and seismicity have occurred repeatedly since at least 991 AD (Nisio, 2008). The most recent deformation events occurred in March 1996 and between May 2016 and October 2017. However, the processes that control the growth of the Prà di Lama sinkhole remain unclear. Furthermore, whether seismicity along the active faults around Prà di Lama may trigger sinkhole subsidence or collapse is debated.

In this paper we combine recent InSAR observations, seismicity and surface mapping, as well as historical records of lake-level changes and ground subsidence at the Prà di Lama from 1828 to understand the mechanisms of sinkhole growth in an active fault system.

2 Geological setting

The area of the Prà di Lama sinkhole is located within the Garfagnana basin (Fig. 1), an extensional graben in the northwestern Apennines, a NW–SE-trending fold-and-thrust belt formed by the stack of different tectonic units caused by the convergence of the Corsica–European and Adriatic plates. The current tectonic regime of the Apennines is characterized by shortening in the eastern sector of the Apennines range and extension in the westernmost side of the range (Elter et al., 1975; Patacca and Scandone, 1989; Bennett et al., 2012). The contemporaneous eastward migration of shortening and upper plate extension are believed to be caused by the roll-back subduction during the anticlockwise rotation of the Adriatic plate (Doglioni, 1991; Meletti et al., 2000; Serpelloni et al., 2005; Faccenna et al., 2014; Le Breton et al., 2017). Extension started 4.5 Ma, leading to the formation of several NW–SE-oriented grabens, bounded by NE-dipping and SW-dipping normal faults that are dissected by several NE-trending, right-lateral strike-slip faults (Fig. 1). The inner northern Apennines are a seismically active area, where several earthquakes with $M_w > 5$ occurred, including the largest instrumentally recorded earthquake, $M_w$ 6.5, in 1920 (Tertul-liani and Maramai, 1998; Rovida et al., 2016; Bonini et al., 2016) and the most recent $M_w$ 5.1 earthquake in 2013 (Pezzo et al., 2014; Stramondo et al., 2014; Molli et al., 2016).

The uppermost stratigraphy at Prà di Lama consists of 8 m thick layer of alluvial and palustrine gravels and sandy deposits containing peaty levels, covering an ~85 m thick sandy-to-silty fluviolacustrine deposits with low permeability (from Villafranchian to present age) (Chetoni, unpublished data) (Fig. 2a and b). These deposits cover a ~1000 m thick turbiditic sequence (Macigno Formation). Below it, a sequence of carbonate rocks pertaining to the Tuscan Nappe unit is present reaching down to a depth of ~2000 m, where anhydrites (Burano Formation) and calcareous–dolomitic breccias (Calcare Cavernoso Formation) overlie the Tuscan Metamorphic units (Fig. 2c).

The Prà di Lama lake lies at the centre of a depression (Figs. 2 and 5). The low slopes characterizing the area result in the absence of active gravitational ground motions (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the Prà di Lama sinkhole is an isolated feature in the region, being the only mapped sinkhole in the entire Garfagnana graben (Caramanna et al., 2008); the closest sinkhole is in Camaiore (Buchignani et al., 2008) near the Tuscany coast (Fig. 1).
The Prà di Lama sinkhole is located at the intersection between two seismically active faults: the Corfino normal fault (Di Naccio et al., 2013; ISIDE working group, 2016) and the right-lateral strike-slip M. Perpoli–T. Scoltenna fault that recently generated the $M_w$ 4.8 earthquake in January 2013 (Fig. 1) (Pinelli, 2013; Molli et al., 2017). Hot water springs are also present at Prà di Lama (Bencini et al., 1977; Gherardi and Pierotti, 2018). Geochemical analyses of the Prà di Lama spring waters by Gherardi and Pierotti (2018), expanding on previous research (Baldacci et al., 2007), suggest that both shallow and deep aquifers are present below Prà di Lama (Fig. 2b). Shallow aquifers have low salinity and low temperature, while waters feeding the thermal springs have high temperature ($\sim 57^\circ C$) and high salinity ($5.9 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$), suggesting the presence of a deep aquifer at $\sim 2000 \text{ m}$ into the anhydrite and the calcareous–dolomitic breccia. The high salinity of the deep groundwaters is associated with dissolution of the deep evaporitic formations. Furthermore, unmixing of deep and shallow waters is interpreted by Gherardi and Pierotti (2018) as evidence of their rapid upwelling, likely occurring along the existing faults.

3 Data

Century-scale historical records of sinkhole activity are available at Prà di Lama and allow us to determine the timescale of sinkhole evolution as well as to characterize the different events of unrest, in particular the two most recent events in 1996 and 2016. InSAR time series analysis is also carried out to measure ground deformations in the Prà di Lama sinkhole in the time period between events of unrest. Finally, the local catalogue of seismicity (ISIDE catalogue, INGV) is used to inform us on the timing and types of brittle failures in the area of the sinkhole.

3.1 Historical record

The first historical record of the Prà di Lama sinkhole dates back to the 991 AD, when the area was described as a seasonal shallow pool fed by springs. Since then, the depression grew and several events of unrest consisting of fracturing and fluctuations of the lake level were reported (Raffaelli, 1869; De Stefani, 1879, Giovannetti, 1975) (Table 1). In particular, eight events of unrest were reported, giving an average
of 1 event of unrest every 26 years. We conducted direct observation of surface deformation around the lake for the two most recent events in 1996 and 2016.

In 1996, the lake level experienced a fall of up to 4 m (Figs. 3 and S1 in the Supplement) and at the same time the springs outside the lake suddenly increased the water outflow. Clay and mud were also ejected by the springs outside the lake, while fractures and slumps occurred within the lake due to the water drop (Figs. 3 and S1). The unrest lasted approximately 2 months, from March to April 1996. During the final stages, the water level in the lake rose rapidly, recovering its initial level, and contemporaneously the spring water flow reduced.

In June 2016, an event of unrest consisting of ground subsidence on the western and southern sides of the Prà di Lama lake started and lasted approximately 9 months, until February 2017. During this period fractures formed and progressively grew, increasing their throw to up to 70 cm and affecting a large area on the western side of the lake (Figs. 3 and S2). Subsidence around the lake resulted in an increase in the lake surface, in particular on the western side and in the formation of tensile fractures (Figs. 3 and S2). Unlike the 1996 events of unrest, no lake-level changes or increase in water flow were observed from the springs around the lake.

### Table 1. Description of the activity at Prà di Lama lake.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Brief description of the event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>991</td>
<td>Seasonal pool fed by springs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1828</td>
<td>Bursts of the spring water flow. Uprising of muddy waters and clays (Raffaelli, 1869; De Stefani, 1879).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1843</td>
<td>Bursts of the spring water flow. Uprising of muddy waters and clays (Raffaelli, 1869; De Stefani, 1879).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1876</td>
<td>Subsidence and fracturing (De Stefani, 1879).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1877</td>
<td>Subsidence and fracturing (De Stefani, 1879).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1969</td>
<td>Abrupt falling of the water level and fracturing along the shores. The lake almost disappeared (Giovannetti, 1975).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>Arising of muddy waters in a well.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Abrupt fall of the water level and fracturing along the shores.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016–2017</td>
<td>Subsidence and fracturing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2 InSAR

InSAR is ideally suited to monitor localized ground deformation such as that caused by sinkholes, as it can observe rapidly evolving deformation of the ground at high spatial resolution (Baer et al., 2002; Castañeda et al., 2009; Atzori et al., 2015; Abelson et al., 2017). Furthermore, the availability of relatively long data sets of SAR images in the Apennines allows us to study the behaviour of the Prà di Lama sinkhole using multi-temporal techniques. We processed a total of 200 interferograms using SAR images acquired by the ENVISAT satellite between 2003 to 2010 from two distinct tracks in ascending or descending viewing geometry.
As a final post-processing step we also calculated the vertical and east–west components of the velocity field in the area covered by both the ascending and descending tracks and assuming no north–south displacement. Given that the study area is imaged by the ENVISAT satellite from two symmetrical geometries with similar incidence angles (few degrees of difference), the vertical and east–west components of the velocity field can simply be obtained solving the following system of equations (Manzo et al., 2006):

\[
\begin{align*}
\nu_H &= \frac{\cos \vartheta}{\sin(2\vartheta)} (v_{\text{DESC}} - v_{\text{ASC}}) = \frac{v_{\text{DESC}} - v_{\text{ASC}}}{2\sin \vartheta} \\
\nu_V &= \frac{\sin \vartheta}{\sin(2\vartheta)} (v_{\text{DESC}} + v_{\text{ASC}}) = \frac{v_{\text{DESC}} + v_{\text{ASC}}}{2\cos \vartheta}
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\nu_H\) and \(\nu_V\) are the horizontal and vertical component of the velocity field, \(v_{\text{DESC}}\) and \(v_{\text{ASC}}\) are the average LOS velocities in the descending and ascending tracks, respectively; \(\vartheta\) is the incidence angle.

The InSAR P-SBAS analysis shows that significant surface deformation occurs at Pieve Fosciana between 2003 and 2010. The observed deformation pattern consists of a range increase mainly on the western flank of the Prà di Lama lake. The range increase is observed in both ascending and descending velocity maps (Fig. 4a, b), with average LOS velocities of up to \(-7.1 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}\) decaying to \(-1 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}\) over a distance of 400 m away from the lake. Elsewhere around the lake coherence is not kept due to the presence of both cropped and woodland cover, leading to decorrelation. However, few coherent pixels are identified on the eastern flank of the lake, in areas with buildings and sparse vegetation cover, suggesting that the deformation pattern may be circular, with a radius of \(\sim 600 \text{ m}\) (Figs. 4 and 5). In order to increase the number of analysed pixels we tested decreasing our coherence threshold from 0.8 to 0.4. The results are displayed in Fig. S3b and show that only a few more pixels are gained north of the sinkhole compared to choosing a threshold of 0.8 (Fig. 4). We conclude that decreasing the coherence threshold does not allow the entire deformation pattern to be retrieved, likely due to the fact the area is vegetated.

The maps of vertical and east–west velocities show vertical rates of \(-4.6 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}\) and horizontal eastward velocities of \(5.4 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}\) (Fig. 4c, d) consistent with subsidence and contraction centred at the lake. Furthermore, Fig. 5 shows that the current deformation pattern follows the topography, suggesting that subsidence at Prà di Lama is a long-term feature. The time series of cumulative LOS displacements show that subsidence occurred at an approximately constant rate between the 2003 and the 2008 but it slowed down in 2008 (Fig. 4e, f), indicating that subsidence at Prà di Lama occurs also between events of unrest. Furthermore, our time series of vertical and east–west cumulative displacements also confirm that the fastest subsidence and contemporaneous eastward motion occurred until 2008 (Fig. 4g, h). In order to better understand the mechanisms responsible for the sink-
Figure 4. (a, b) Maps of average surface velocity and its vertical (c) and east–west (d) components obtained from ENVISAT SAR images acquired between 2003 and 2010. Negative values indicate a range increase. The white line in panel (a) marks the cross section shown in Fig. 4. The black star is the point used as a reference for the InSAR-SBAS processing. (e–h) Time series of incremental deformation extracted from the pixel bounded with the white rectangle.

hole growth and the different types of episodic unrest we also analysed the seismicity.

3.3 Seismicity

Seismicity at the Prà di Lama lake was analysed using the catalogue ISIDe (Italian Seismological Instrumental and Parametric Data-Base) spanning the time period from 1986 to 2016. We calculated the cumulative seismic moment release using the relation between seismic moment and magnitudes given by Kanamori (1977). First, we analysed the seismic moment release and the magnitude content of the earthquakes in the area encompassing the sinkhole and the fault intersection (10 km radius, Fig. 1) to understand whether unrest at Prà di Lama is triggered by earthquakes along the active faults (Fig. 6). Figure 6a shows that, although several seismic swarms occurred in the area, no clear temporal correlation between the swarms and the events of unrest at Prà di Lama is observed, suggesting that the majority of seismic strain released on faults around the Prà di Lama lake does not affect the activity of the sinkhole. We removed from the
Figure 5. Cross section of topography and InSAR velocities along the A-A’ profile as shown in Fig. 3a.

Figure 6. Seismicity of an area 10 km in radius around the Prà di Lama lake. Cumulative seismic moment released in the area (a) and histograms of the number of earthquakes per month. Three different classes of magnitude have been created: $M_l < 2.0$ (b), $2.0 < M_l < 3.0$ (c) and $M_l > 3.0$ (d). The data set covers the period between 1986 and 2017. The red transparent bars indicate the two events of unrest of 1996 and 2016. The orange solid line in panel (a) marks the $M_w 4.8$ earthquake, on 25 January 2013.

Figure 7. Seismicity of an area 3 km in radius around the Prà di Lama lake. Plot of the cumulative seismic moment released in the area (a) and histograms showing the number of earthquakes occurred each month. Two different classes of magnitude have been created: $M_l < 2.0$ (b), $2.0 < M_l < 3.0$ (c). No events of $M_l > 3.0$ occurred in the area between 1986 and 2017. The red transparent bars indicate the two events of unrest of 1996 and 2016.

We also analysed the local seismicity around the Prà di Lama lake, within a circular area of 3 km radius around the lake (Fig. 1) to better understand the deformation processes occurring at the sinkhole and we found that swarms of low-magnitude earthquakes ($M_l \leq 2$) occurred during both events of unrest at Prà di Lama in 1996 and 2016 (Fig. 7a, b, c), while a few earthquakes with magnitudes $> 2$ occurred irrespective of the events of unrest. This indicates that seismicity during sinkhole activity is characterized by seismic energy released preferentially towards the lower end of the magnitude spectrum. This pattern is specific to the sinkhole area, because in the broader region (Fig. 6b, c) the majority of earthquake magnitudes are in the range $M_l < 2$ to $M_l < 3$ and few with $M_l > 3$ also occurred. We also analysed the hypocentres of the earthquakes around the Prà di Lama lake (3 km radius) and find that these range between 4.5 and 11.5 km depth, indicating that deformation processes in the fault zone control the sinkhole activity. On the other hand, no earthquakes were recorded at Prà di Lama during the period of subsidence identified by InSAR between 2003 and 2010, indicating that subsidence between events of unrest continues largely aseismically.

To strengthen our seismicity analysis and clarify whether a connection between major tectonic earthquakes and sinkhole unrest exists, we also analysed the historical parametric seis-
Figure 8. Comparison between the earthquakes (blue lines) in the Garfagnana area (INGV Catalogo Parametrico dei Terremoti Italiani CPTI15, Rovida et al., 2016) and events of unrest at the Prà di Lama sinkhole (red lines). Light grey shading marks the time period covered by CPT15, while dark grey shading is the period covered by ISIDe.

mic catalogues (Rovida et al., 2016; INGV Catalogo Parametrico dei Terremoti Italiani, CPTI15). Figure 8 shows the occurrence of major earthquakes, with magnitude > 4.0 up to 20 km away from Pieve Fosciana and the events of sinkhole unrest at Prà di Lama. No clear connection between occurrence of large distant earthquakes and events of sinkhole unrest is observed, suggesting that the mechanisms responsible for the activation of the Prà di Lama sinkhole should be attributed to local processes.

4 Discussion and conclusions

A multi-disciplinary data set of InSAR measurements, field observations and seismicity reveal that diverse deformation events occur at the Prà di Lama sinkhole. Two main events of sinkhole unrest occurred at Prà di Lama in 1996 and 2016 but the processes had different features. In 1996 the lake level dropped together with increased water outflow from the springs, while in 2016 ground subsidence led to the expansion of the lake surface and fracturing. In 2016, fractures formed on the south-western shore of the lake. The main active strike-slip fault is also oriented SW, suggesting a possible tectonic control on the deformation.

We considered processes not related to the sinkhole activity that could explain the observed deformation at Prà di Lama. Active landslides can cause both vertical and horizontal surface motions (e.g. Nishiguchi et al., 2017). However, no landslides are identified in the deforming area around the sinkhole (Fig. 3). Furthermore, the low topographic slopes rule out the presence of active landslides in the area. Concentric deformation patterns are observed above shallow aquifers (e.g. Amelung et al., 1999). However, deformation caused by aquifers have a seasonal pattern rather than continuous subsidence over the timespan of several years, as in Prà di Lama. A long-term subsidence could only be caused by over-exploitation of an aquifer but no water is pumped from the aquifers in the deforming area around Prà di Lama. We conclude that the observed InSAR deformation is caused by the sinkhole.

InSAR analysis shows that continuous but aseismic subsidence of the sinkhole occurred between the two events of unrest, during the period 2003–2010. Instead swarms of low-magnitude earthquakes coeval to the unrest events of 1996 and 2016 were recorded at depth between 4.5 and 11.5 km, indicating that a link between low-magnitude seismicity and sinkhole activity exists. We suggest that seismic creep in the fault zone underneath Prà di Lama occurs, causing the diverse deformation events.

Seismic creep at depth could have induced pressure changes in the aquifer above the fault zone (1996 events) as well as causing subsidence by increased fracturing (2016 events). The seismicity pattern revealed by our analysis suggests that the Mt Perpoli–T. Scoltenna strike-slip fault system underneath Prà di Lama is locally creeping, producing seismic sequences of low-magnitude earthquakes. Similar seismicity patterns were observed along different active faults (i.e. Nadeau et al., 1995; Linde et al., 1996; Rau et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2008; Harris, 2017). In 2006, along the Superstition Hills fault (San Andreas fault system, California) seismic creep has been favoured by high water pressure (Scholz, 1998; Wei et al., 2009; Harris, 2017). We suggest that along the fault zone below Prà di Lama an increase in pressure in the aquifer in 1996 caused fracturing at the bottom of the lake and upward migration of fluids rich in clays, in agreement with the observations of lake-level drop and mud-rich water ejected by the springs in 1996. Our interpretation is also in agreement with geochemical data indicating that the high salinity of thermal waters at Prà di Lama have a deep origin, ∼ 2000 m, where fluid circulation dissolves evaporites and carbonates, creating cavities and then reaching the surface by rapid upwelling along the faults system (Gherardi and Pierotti, 2018). The presence of deep cavities and a thick non-carbonate sequence suggests that the Prà di Lama sinkhole is a deep-sited caprock collapse sinkhole according to the sinkhole classification of Gutiérrez et al. (2008, 2014). Sudden fracturing and periods of compaction of cavities created by enhanced rock dissolution and upward erosion in the fluid circulation zone could explain both sudden subsidence and fracturing, as in 2016, and periods of continuous but aseismic subsidence as in 2003–2010. Similar processes have been envisaged for the formation of a sinkhole at the Napoleonville Salt Dome, where a seismicity study suggests...
that fracturing enhanced the rock permeability, promoting the rising of fluids and, as a consequence, erosion and creation of deep cavities prone to collapse (Sibson, 1996; Micklethwaite et al., 2010; Nayak and Dreger, 2014; Yarushina et al., 2017). Recently, a sequence of seismic events was identified at Mineral Beach (Dead Sea fault zone) and was interpreted as the result of crack formations and faulting above subsurface cavities (Abelson et al., 2017).

Precursory subsidence of years to a few months has been observed to precede sinkhole collapse in carbonate or evaporitic bedrocks (e.g. Baer et al., 2002; Nof et al., 2013; Cathleen and Bloom, 2014; Atzori et al., 2015; Abelson et al., 2017). However, the timing of these processes strongly depends on the rheological properties of the rocks (Shalev and Lyakhovsky, 2012). Furthermore, the presence of a thick lithoid sequence in Prà di Lama may delay sinkhole collapse, also in agreement with the exceptionally long timescale (since AD 991) of growth of the Prà di Lama sinkhole (Shalev and Lykovsky, 2012; Abelson et al., 2017). However, at present we are not able to establish if and when a major collapse will occur in Prà di Lama.

We identified a wide range of surface deformation patterns associated with the Prà di Lama sinkhole and we suggest that a source mechanism for the sinkhole formation and growth is seismic creep in the active fault zone underneath the sinkhole. This mechanism could control the evolution of other active sinkholes in Italy as well as in other areas worldwide where sinkhole form in active fault systems (e.g. Dead Sea area). InSAR monitoring has already been shown to be a valid method to detect precursory subsidence occurring before a sinkhole collapse and the recent SAR missions, such as the European Sentinel-1, will very likely provide a powerful tool to identify such deformations.
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