RST analysis of MSG-SEVIRI TIR radiances at the time of the Abruzzo 6 April 2009 earthquake
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Abstract. Space-time fluctuations of Earth’s emitted Thermal Infrared (TIR) radiation have been observed from satellite months to weeks before earthquakes occurrence.

The general RST approach has been proposed in order to discriminate normal (i.e. related to the change of natural factor and/or observation conditions) TIR signal fluctuations from anomalous signal transient possibly associated to earthquake occurrence. In this work RST approach is applied to the Abruzzo 6 April 2009 event ($M_L=5.8$) by using for the first time MSG-SEVIRI (Meteosat Second Generation – Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager) thermal infrared observations. A validation/confutation analysis has been performed in order to verify the presence/absence of anomalous space-time TIR transients in the presence/absence of significant seismic activity. March–April 2009 has been analyzed for validation purposes. Relatively unperturbed periods (no earthquakes with $M_L\geq5$) have been taken for confutation. A specific TIR anomalies space-time persistence analysis as well as a cloud coverage distribution test have been introduced in order to eliminate artifacts and outliers both in the validation and confutation phases. Preliminary results show clear differences in TIR anomalies occurrence during the periods used for validation and confutation purposes. Quite clear TIR anomalies appear also to mark main tectonic lines related to the preparatory phases of others, low magnitude ($M_L\sim4$) earthquakes, occurred in the area.

1 Introduction

Several studies (see for example Gorny et al., 1988; Qiang and Dian, 1992; Tronin, 1996; Qiang et al., 1997; Tronin et al., 2002; Ouzounov and Freund, 2004) have been performed, in the past years, reporting the appearance of space-time anomalies in TIR satellite imagery, from weeks to days, before severe earthquakes. Different authors (Qiang et al., 1991; Tramutoli et al., 2001, 2009), in order to explain the appearance of anomalously high TIR records near the place and the time of earthquake occurrence, attributed their appearance to the increase of green-house gas (such as CO$_2$, CH$_4$, etc.) emission rates, to the modification of ground water regime (see Hamza, 2001) and/or to the increase of convective heat flux (Qiang et al., 1991; Tronin, 2000; Surkov et al., 2006). Other, more complex models (e.g. Mareev et al., 2002; Pulinets et al., 2002, 2006, 2007; Molchanov, 2004; Molchanov et al., 2004; Ouzounov and Freund, 2004; Tronin et al., 2004; Molchanov and Hayakawa, 2008) have been proposed, that include the increase of near surface temperature, among the other expected pre-seismic phenomena.

Nevertheless such a claimed correlation has been considered, up to now, with some caution by scientific community. The main problems of the mentioned studies were the lack of a rigorous definition of anomalous TIR signal fluctuations, the absence of a convincing testing step based on a validation/confutation approach and the scarce attention paid to the possibility that other causes (e.g. meteorological) different from seismic activity could be responsible for the observed TIR variations.

Considering what has been said, a different approach named Robust Satellite data analysis Technique (RST; Tramutoli, 2007), based on the RAT – Robust AVHRR
(Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer) Techniques – approach (Tramutoli, 1998), was proposed to investigate possible relations between earthquake occurrence and space-time fluctuations of Earth’s emitted TIR radiation as observed from satellite. The RST analysis is mainly based on:

- a statistically based definition of “TIR anomalies” allowing their identification even in very variable local (e.g. related to atmosphere and/or surface) and observational (e.g. related to time/season, but also to solar and satellite zenithal angles) conditions,

- a validation/confutation approach, devoted to verify the presence/absence of anomalous space-time TIR transients in the presence/absence of seismic activity.

The RST approach was already tested in the case of tens of earthquakes (Tramutoli et al., 2001b, 2005, 2009; Di Bello et al., 2004; Filizzola et al., 2004; Corrado et al., 2005; Genzano et al., 2007, 2009; Aliano et al., 2007, 2008a, b, c) occurred in different continents (Europe, Asia, America and Africa), in various geo-tectonic settings (compressive, extensional and transcurrent) and with a wide range of magnitudes (from 4.0 to 7.9).

In this paper, the results of RST analysis performed on 5 years of MSG-SEVIRI TIR data collected over the Italian peninsula are presented.

Results achieved at the time of Abruzzo earthquake (6 April 2009, $M_L \sim 5.8$) are compared with an identical analysis (confutation) performed in a quite unperturbed (characterized by the absence of earthquakes of similar magnitude over the same area) year, in order to verify the presence/absence of anomalous space-time TIR transients in the presence/absence of significant earthquakes in similar observational conditions.

2 A robust estimator of thermal anomalies

The RST approach (Tramutoli, 2007) based on the RAT approach (Tramutoli, 1998), is a suitable tool for monitoring different natural and environmental risks. RST has been successfully applied to the major natural and environmental hazards related to: volcanic activity (Pergola et al., 2001, 2004a, b; Tramutoli et al., 2001c; Di Bello et al., 2004; Bonfiglio et al., 2005; Marchese et al., 2006; Filizzola et al., 2007); flood risk (Tramutoli et al., 2001a; Lacava et al., 2005, 2006, 2009); forest fires (Cuomo et al., 2001; Mazzeo et al., 2007); etc.

RST was applied for the first time to seismically active areas monitoring, in the case of the disastrous 1980 Irpinia-Basilicata earthquake (Di Bello et al., 2004; Tramutoli et al., 2001b), then it was successfully tested in several cases: Athens, 7 September 1999 (Filizzola et al., 2004); Izmit, 17 August 1999 (Tramutoli et al., 2005); Gujarat, 26 January 2001 (Genzano et al., 2007), Boumerdes/Thenia, 21 May 2003 (Aliano et al., 2007); Hector Mine, 16 October 1999 (Aliano et al., 2008a), Umbria-Marche, October 1997 (Aliano et al., 2008b), Mesta Tialeti (Georgia), 23 October 1992 (Genzano et al., 2009), and various low magnitude events occurred in Greece and Turkey, May and June 1995–1996 (Corrado et al., 2005).

The RST approach has been always implemented by using a validation/confutation approach, in order to verify the presence/absence of anomalous space-time TIR transients in the presence/absence of significant seismic events. The RST technique is based on a preliminary multi-temporal analysis on several years (at least five, better more) of homogeneous historical data-set of satellite TIR records, which is devoted to characterize the TIR signal (in terms of its expected value and variation range) for each pixel of the satellite image to be processed. On this basis, anomalous TIR patterns are identified by using a specific index, RETIRA (Robust Estimator of TIR Anomalies, Filizzola et al., 2004; Tramutoli, 2005), to be computed on the image at hand as:

$$\Delta T(r,t) = \frac{\Delta T(r,t) - \mu_{\Delta T}(r)}{\sigma_{\Delta T}(r)}$$

where:

- $r \equiv (x,y)$ represents location coordinates of the pixel centre on a satellite image; $t$ is the time of image acquisition with $t \in \tau$, where $\tau$ defines the homogeneous domain of satellite imagery collected in the same time-slot of the day and period (month) of the year;

- $\Delta T(r,t) = T(r,t) - T(t)$ is the value of the difference between the punctual value of brightness temperature $T(r,t)$ at the location $r \equiv (x,y)$ and acquisition time $t$, and its spatial average $\bar{T}(t)$ computed on the investigated area considering only cloud-free locations, all belonging to the same, land or sea, class (i.e. considering only sea pixels if $r$ is located on the sea and only land pixels if it is located on the land). Note that the choice of such a differential variable $\Delta T(r,t)$ instead of $T(r,t)$ is expected to reduce possible contributions (e.g. occasional warming) due to day-to-day and/or year-to-year climatological changes and/or season time-drifts;

- $\mu_{\Delta T}(r)$ time average value of $\Delta T(r,t)$ at the location $r \equiv (x,y)$ computed on cloud free records belonging to the selected data set ($t \in \tau$);

- $\sigma_{\Delta T}(r)$ standard deviation of $\Delta T(r,t)$ at the location $r \equiv (x,y)$ computed on cloud free records belonging to the selected data set ($t \in \tau$).

In this way $\Delta T(r,t)$ gives the local excess of the current $\Delta T(r,t)$ signal compared with its historical mean value and weighted by its historical variability at the considered location. Both, $\mu_{\Delta T}(r)$ and $\sigma_{\Delta T}(r)$, are computed, once and for all, for each location $r$, processing several years of historical satellite records acquired in similar observational conditions. They are two reference images describing the normal behaviour of the signal and of its variability at each location $r$ in observational conditions as similar as possible to the ones of the image at hand. Excess $\Delta T(r,t) - \mu_{\Delta T}(r)$
then represents the Signal (S) to be investigated for its possible relation with seismic activity. It is always evaluated by comparison with the corresponding natural/observational Noise (N), represented by $\sigma_{\Delta T}(r)$ which describes the overall (local) variability of S including all (natural and observational, known and unknown) sources of its variability as historically observed at the same site in similar observational conditions (sensor, time of day, month, etc). This way, the relative importance of the measured TIR signal (or the intensity of anomalous TIR transients) can naturally be evaluated in terms of S/N ratio by the RETIRA index.

A wider description of the RST approach and its implementation on different satellite sensors to monitor seismic areas can be found in Tramutoli et al. (2005), Aliano et al. (2008a) and in the other above mentioned papers.

3 The case of Abruzzo earthquake

The Abruzzo earthquake ($M_L \sim 5.8$) occurred on 6 April 2009 at 01:32:39 GMT, having its epicentre at 42.334° N and 13.334° E (INGV 2009). The mainshock occurred as a result of normal faulting on a NW-SE oriented structure in the central Apennines. The same zone has been object of frequent seismic events (Fig. 1) with characteristics of seismic swarm beginning from January 2009, with hundreds of shakes all of modest entity. The seismicity was confined in the upper crust interesting an area about 30 km long and strikes in the NW-SE direction, parallel to the Apennine mountain axis and to the main fault structures known in the area.

In this paper, the Abruzzo seismic event has been analyzed using the RST approach applied to MSG-SEVIRI data. Five years of TIR satellite imagery acquired from 2005 to 2009 in the same time of the day (24:00 GMT) during the months of March and April were used for computing $\mu_{\Delta T}(r)$ and $\sigma_{\Delta T}(r)$ reference fields shown in Fig. 2.

On this basis RETIRA index has been computed for all the MSG-SEVIRI imagery in order to perform the validation/confutation analysis. For validation purposes, the months of March and April 2009 have been considered, while, in the confutation phase, the analysis has been performed considering the months of March and April 2008: the “unperturbed” (i.e. no earthquakes with $M \geq 5$, in the same region and in the same months but in a different year) period in the considered data set.

Reference fields (time average $\mu_{\Delta T}(r)$ and standard deviation $\sigma_{\Delta T}(r)$) for the investigated area for March and April computed from SEVIRI data collected at 24:00 UTC during the years 2005–2009.
and standard deviation \( \sigma \)) for the investigated area for March and April computed from SEVIRI data collected at 24:00 UTC during the years 2005-2009.

4 Validation

The validation phase has been performed for the period 15 March–15 April 2009. Scenes affected by a wide cloudy coverage (>80% of the total scene, e.g. 29 March 2009 in Fig. 3) are not shown as well as images affected by artifacts due to the computation of RETIRA index on few clouds-free pixels (one example in Fig. 3, more details on the so-called cold spatial average effect can be found are in Aliano et al., 2008a). The results of RETIRA index computation are shown in Fig. 4, where pixels with \( \Delta T(4, r, t) > 4 \) (i.e. with the signal excess \( \Delta T(4, r, t) = \mu_{\Delta T}(r) > 4 \sigma_{\Delta T}(r) \)) are depicted in red (hereafter, only for the sake of simplicity, we will refer to them simply as “TIR anomalies”). Pixels affected by clouds (which completely mask Earth’s emitted radiation in the TIR spectral region) have been detected by using OCA (One-channel Cloudy-radiance-detection Approach, Cuomo et al., 2004) and excluded from whatever further processing and analysis.

Looking at the sequence of pictures in Fig. 4 it is possible to note that higher intensity anomalies \( \Delta T(4, r, t) > 4 \) appear in the Italian peninsula affecting the north of Italy at 16 and 24 March in the Piedmont region and on 28 March in the Padania plain, while the central Italy (the Abruzzo region) and the Calabria coast are affected by TIR anomalies beginning from 30 March until 1 April. Other higher intensity anomalies appear in the Balkan region on 21 March near the Croatia coast and on 30 and 31 March, and 4 April in the Bosnia region, while on 28 March TIR anomalies are also visible in the North Africa.

As already discussed in previous works (Filizzola et al., 2004; Tramutoli et al., 2005; Aliano et al., 2008a), the RETIRA index is intrinsically not protected from the abrupt occurrence of signal outliers related to particular natural (e.g. local warming due to night-time cloud passages) or observational (e.g. errors in image navigation/co-location process) conditions.

For this reason TIR anomalies sequences have been subjected to a space-time persistence analysis in order to discriminate actually significant anomalous space-time transients from outliers. This is, for instance, the case of thermal anomalies which appear in Northern Africa on 28 March:
a local warming effect due to the night time passage of a cloudy system has been identified as the probable responsible for this spatially extended but not time persistent anomaly. Spatial extension and persistence in time are in fact the further requirements to be satisfied (together with relative intensity) in order to preliminarily identify significant TIR anomalies.

If we consider lower intensity TIR anomalies (pixels with \(\Delta T(r,t) > 3\)) it is possible to better appreciate the time evolution of the TIR anomalies before observed. Generally, low intensity anomalies follow the ones of higher intensity noticeably enlarging the anomaly area and filling gaps both in the space (among isolated anomalous pixels) and time domains.

On the basis of these considerations and looking at Fig. 1 where seismic events with \(M_L > 3.5\) occurred in the period March–April 2009 are shown, it is possible to distinguish between pre- and post-seismic thermal anomalies. Among the TIR pre-seismic anomalies it is possible to discern 3 main sequences:

- TIR anomalies are visible in the central area of Italy from 30 March to 1 April (5 days before the main-shock of Abruzzo earthquake) located near the main tectonic lineaments and the seismic epicentres of the earthquakes occurred in the Abruzzo area (Fig. 5a);

- TIR anomalies at different levels of intensity are present in the north of Italy (Piedmont region) beginning from 16 March until 28 March. They affect this area in correspondence with a tectonic lineament showing a variable persistence in the space and time domain (Fig. 5b). A seismic event (Bra earthquakes) with magnitude \(M_L \sim 3.9\) occurred in this area on 19 April 2009;

- also in the Padana plain since 31 March until 3 April lower intensity TIR anomalies are present with a variable spatial distribution near the tectonic lineament (Fig. 5c). The regions has been affected by an earthquake (Forli earthquakes) on 5 April 2009 \((M_L \sim 4.6)\).

On the Balkan area it is possible to appreciate pre- and post-seismic anomalies (Fig. 6). TIR anomalies occurred in this area, with a variable spatial distribution and different levels of intensity, from 30 March (1 day before an earthquake with magnitude \(M_L = 4.2\) occurred in the same area) until 4 April.

5 Conflation

The confutation step has been performed by considering the same period (15 March–15 April) but in a different year (2008), in order to verify the absence of TIR anomalies in a relatively seismically unperturbed period. The selection of 2008 for conflation purposes has been done consulting the INGV (2009) seismic catalogue within the range 2005–2009 (years for which MSG-SEVIRI data were available): no seismic events with magnitude greater than (or equal to) 5 are reported over the investigated area during the months of March and April 2008 (Fig. 7a).
Fig. 4. Validation: results of the RETIRA index computation on the investigated area before and after the Abruzzo earthquake (6 April 2009, $M_L \sim 5.8$). All scene from 15 March and 15 April are shown with the exclusion of those scenes (in this case only the one acquired on 29 March) affected by clouds covering more than 80% of the whole scene. Thermal anomalies (i.e. pixels with $\otimes_{\Delta T}(r,t) \geq 4$) are depicted in red. Cloudy locations are depicted in gray. Red boxes contour images containing TIR anomalies. Green dashed circle indicate the areas of occurrence of TIR anomalies (see test).
Figure 5. Validation: pre-seismic anomalies, a close view in different region: (a) Abruzzo region, (b) Piedmont region, and (c) Padana plain. Seismic events information come from INGV (2009).
As for the validation step, the same cut at 4$\sigma$ (i.e. $\otimes_{\Delta T}(r,t) \geq 4$) has been used in order to identify images containing TIR anomalies. Scenes (8, 10, and 11 April) affected by a wide (>80%) cloudy coverage have been excluded from the analysis (Fig. 7b).

Figure 8 shows the result of the RST analysis for the 2008. It is possible to note that only from 16 March until 20 March space-time persistent TIR anomalies appear which affect Southern Italy (Calabria region) reaching their maximum extension on 19 March. A seismic event with magnitude $M_L \sim 4.0$ occurred in the same area on 8 April 2008. In the following days only spatially isolated and/or not time persistent TIR anomalies appears (disappearing just in one day) probably related to night-time cloud passages (e.g. 24 March 2008).
The confutation step has been performed by considering the same period (March 15th - April 15th) but in a different year (2008), in order to verify the absence of TIR anomalies in a relatively unperturbed period. The selection of 2008 for confutation purposes has been done to identify images containing TIR anomalies. Scenes (April 8th, 10th and 11th) affected by a wide (>40%) cloudy coverage have been excluded from the analysis (Fig. 7B). As for the validation step, the same cut at 4⊙ΔT ≥ 4, occurred in the same area on April 8th 2008. In the following days only spatially isolated and/or not time persistent TIR anomalies appears (disappearing just in one day) probably related to night-time cloud passages (e.g. 24 March 2008).

Fig. 8. Confutation: results of the RETIRA index computation over the investigated area for the relatively unperturbed year 2008. Red boxes contour images having pixels with ⊗ΔT (r,t) ≥ 4. Green circle indicate the (unique) sequence of space-time persistent TIR anomalies over Calabria region.

Fig. 7b. Left: calculation of cloudy coverage on all the scenes processed for 2008: grey bars represent the percentage of cloudy pixels over image; red bars represent the percentage of anomalous pixels over the remaining cloud free pixels in the image; Right: example on the 11 April 2008 scene of artefacts (spurious TIR anomalies) due to the poorness of cloud-free pixels where RETIRA index can be computed and to the cloud masking all the warmest part of the scene (cold spatial average effect, see text).
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